

Actions of Hopf algebras on matrices

4/28/19
wood
Hole

Let k be a field, usually \mathbb{C}

$H = (H, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon, \zeta)$ is a Hopf algebra/ k

and A is an algebra/ k

Def: H acts on A if

① A is a (unital) left H -module

② for $h \in H, a, b \in A$, and $\Delta h = \sum h_1 \otimes h_2$

$$h \cdot (ab) = m \circ (\Delta h \cdot (a \otimes b))$$

$$= \sum (h_1 \cdot a)(h_2 \cdot b)$$

Ex's: ① $H = k[G]$

Then for $g \in G, \Delta g = g \otimes g, \varepsilon(g) = 1$
 $g \cdot (ab) = (g \cdot a)(g \cdot b)$
 Thus g acts as an automorphism

② $H = U(L)$, L Lie alg.

$x \in L$ is primitive if $\Delta x = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$

$x \cdot (ab) = (x \cdot a) \cdot b + a(x \cdot b)$, a derivation

③ $x \in H$ is skew-primitive if for some

$g \in G(H), \Delta x = x \otimes 1 + g \otimes x$.

Then $x \cdot (ab) = (x \cdot a) b + (g \cdot a)(x \cdot b)$

a skew derivation

Ex: Taft algebras. Let $w \in k$ be a primitive
 n^{th} root of 1. Then

$$H_{n^2}(k) = \langle g, x \mid g^n = 1, x^n = 0, xg = wgx, \\ g \in G(H), \Delta x = x \otimes 1 + g \otimes x, \varepsilon(x) = 0 \rangle$$

Def: H is pointed if all simple (minimal) subco algebras are 1-dim. (2)

When H is finite dim, this means that in H^* , $H^*/\text{rad}(H^*)$ is basic: a sum of fields.

ex: Lusztig's small quantum group.

$$u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = \mathbb{k}\langle E, F, K \mid E^n = 0 = F^n, K^n = 1, \\ KE = q^2 EK, KF = q^{-2} FK, \\ \text{and } EF - FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \rangle$$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}}(u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)) = n^3 \text{ when } \text{ord}(q) = 2n.$$

E, F are skew-primitive.

Note $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ contains 2 copies of Taft dg

Conjecture (Andruskiewitsch, Schneider). Any fin. dim pointed Hopf algebra is generated as an algebra by group-likes and skew-primitives

Angiono: True if G finite abelian.

[AS]: showed true for most cases of G , but needed very difficult classification.

Ex: Drinfeld double of Taft algebra.

$$D(H) = \mathbb{k}\langle x, g, X, G \mid gG = Gg, Xg = g^{-1}X, \\ xG = g^{-1}Gx, xX - Xx = G - g \rangle$$

There is a surjection

$D(H) \rightarrow u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ as follows:

set $\omega = q^{-2}$ and let:

$$G \times 1 \rightarrow K, \quad \Sigma \times g \rightarrow K^{-1}, \quad \Sigma \times x \rightarrow F$$

$$X \times 1 \rightarrow -(q - q^{-1}) \bar{E}.$$

Notice that we must have $G \times g \rightarrow 1$.

M-Smith (1991). Determined all actions of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ on polynomials $k[\mathbb{Z}]$ in generic case analog of actions of \mathfrak{sl}_2 (and $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$).

M-Schneider (2001). Determined all actions of

$$H = T_{n^2}(\omega) \text{ on } A = k[u/v^n = \beta], \text{ some } \beta \in k.$$

Question: what if A has two generators?

$$A = k \langle u, v \mid u^n = \alpha, v^n = \beta, vu = \delta uv \rangle$$

See that $A \cong M_n(k)$, for suitable k, δ .

Recall that A is generated as an algebra by two matrices. A nice example of generators are the Sylvester matrices

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ \varepsilon & & & \\ & \varepsilon^2 & & \\ 0 & \dots & \varepsilon^{n-1} & \end{bmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\varepsilon^n = 1$. See that $SC = \varepsilon CS$.

Thus a nice basis of $M_n(k)$ is $\{X_{ke} = C^k S^e\}$.

Joint work with Yuri Bahturin

Action of g on A replaced by a grading of A by $\langle g \rangle$, as $kG \cong (kG)^{\#}$

Theorem (2001) (Bahturin, Sehgal, Zaicev)

(4)

Let G be a finite abelian group,
and assume that $M_n(F)$ is graded by G .

$$\text{Then } M_n(F) \cong \underbrace{M_k(F)}_A \otimes \underbrace{M_l(F)}_B$$

where

① B has an elementary grading:

That is, write $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$.

$$\text{Then } B_g = \text{span}_k \langle E_{ij} \mid g_i^{-1} g_j = g \rangle$$

for example, $G = \mathbb{Z}_t$ gives a grading
on B . Say $\mathbb{Z}_t = \langle a \rangle = \{1, a, \dots, a^{t-1}\}$

$$\text{Then } B_a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{a^2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ etc.}$$

② $A = M_k(F)$ has a fine grading.

This means $\dim A_g = 1$, all $g \in G$.

The support of G on A
is a subgroup of order k^2 .

ex: $A = M_2(F)$, $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$

say $G = \{e, a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = e, \text{ and } G \text{ abelian}\}$

$$A_a = k \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_b = k \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_c = k \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$A_e = k \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Note $c^2 = -e$, but OK
for spaces: $A_c^2 = A_e$.

See book
by
Elduque &
Kochetov

For a fine grading to exist, need that (5)
 G is "square"; that is,

$$G = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2}^2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}^2$$

Another simplification:

Theorem (Masuoka): If H acts on $M_n(k)$,

Then the action is inner, that is,
 There is a (linear) function $u: H \rightarrow M_n(k)$
 such that for $a \in M_n(k)$,

$$h \cdot a = \sum u(h_i) a u(h_i)$$

(recall the antipode S of H is a kind of inverse).

For our case,

$$g \in G(H) \Rightarrow g \cdot a = u(g) a u(g)^{-1}$$

\times skew-primitive \Rightarrow

$$x \cdot a = u(x) a - u(g) a u(g)^{-1} \cdot u(x)$$

Lemma: replace $u(x)$ by $u'(x) = u(x) - \lambda u(g)$

Thus may assume:

$$u(g) = 1_A, \quad u(x)^n = \alpha \cdot 1_A, \quad u(x)u(g) = \omega u(g)u(x).$$

Lemma: If the action of g is
 not faithful, then $x \cdot A = 0$.

use the special basis $\{X_{ke}\}$.

Theorem (Baltuzin - M): Determine all
 actions of $T_q(\omega)$ on $M_3(\mathbb{C})$,

Also actions of $T_q(\omega) \otimes u_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$

\uparrow Gannikov

ex: In previous work of H-Schneider (6)
 on $A = \mathbb{Z}[u \mid u^n = \alpha]$, showed that
 action of $D(T_{n^2}(\omega))$ determines action of
 $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$; all $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ actions come from
 $D(T_{n^2}(\omega))$.

new ex: This is false for 2-generator A .

There is an action of $D(T_4(\omega))$ on
 $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ via:

$$u(g) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad u(G) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$u(x) = -\frac{1}{2} u(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note $gG^{-1} \neq 1$ so can't have a
 $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ action.

ex: Actions of $T_n(\mathbb{C})$ on $M_3(\mathbb{C})$,

write $u(g) = Q$ and $u(x) = P$.

3 cases for Q :

① $Q_1 = (I, \omega I_2)$, $P_1 = E_{13}$

② $Q_2 = (I, \omega^2 I_2)$, $P_2 = E_{31}$

③ $Q_3 = (I, \omega, \omega^2)$, $P_{3,i}$, $i=1, \dots, 6$,

see $P_{3i} = E_{12}$, or E_{23} , or E_{21} , or
 $E_{12} + E_{23}$, $E_{12} + E_{31}$, $E_{23} + E_{31}$

or an infinite family of P 's:

$$P_{3,t} = E_{12} + E_{23} + tE_{31}, \text{ any } t \in \mathbb{F}^*$$

These actions are all inequivalent.