Microfabricated Biomaterials for Engineering 3D Tissues
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Mimicking natural tissue structure is crucial for engineered tissues with intended applications ranging from regenerative medicine to biorobotics. Native tissues are highly organized at the microscale, thus making these natural characteristics an integral part of creating effective biomimetic tissue structures. There exists a growing appreciation that the incorporation of similar highly organized microscale structures in tissue engineering may yield a remedy for problems ranging from vascularization to cell function control/determination. In this review, we highlight the recent progress in the field of microscale tissue engineering and discuss the use of various biomaterials for generating engineered tissue structures with microscale features. In particular, we will discuss the use of microscale approaches to engineer the architecture of scaffolds, generate artificial vasculature, and control cellular orientation and differentiation. In addition, the emergence of microfabricated tissue units and the modular assembly to emulate hierarchical tissues will be discussed.

1. Introduction

Each year, millions of patients die from organ damage or failure. Many of these patients die while they are on organ transplantation waiting lists due to insufficient number of donors. The field of tissue engineering has emerged to address this need by creating transplantable tissues or organs in the laboratory. It is an interdisciplinary field which exploits living cells through integration of engineering, materials science, biological sciences and medicine to maintain, restore and enhance normal tissue and organ function.[1,2]

Almost two decades after Langer and Vacanti’s seminal article “Tissue Engineering”[3] in which they described the principles of tissue engineering, off-the-shelf engineered tissues are becoming more and more realistic. The market for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine in the United States has grown to $6.9 billion in 2009 (Figure 1).[4] This market is estimated to further grow to about $32 billion in 2018. The potential market spans a wide range of tissues from degenerative or trauma caused orthopedic or nervous system therapies to cardiovascular and diabetes applications, and even to dental or ophthalmological problems. However, currently available engineered tissues are largely limited to skin epithelium, corneal epithelium and cartilage.[4] The common feature of these tissues is their relatively simple architecture; in other words the simplicity in their tissue architecture and cellular organization. For example, there is less need for a vasculature in an engineered cartilage tissue or corneal epithelium compared to metabolically active and large tissues such as the heart or liver.

Most tissues are composed of more than one cell type, usually with quite regular and microscale organization of these cells and extracellular matrix (ECM) components secreted by them, in order to perform a specific function. Since the functionality of a certain tissue is related with this complex architecture, tissue engineers have been trying to mimic and recapitulate this complexity in vitro. Although there are other approaches such as organizing cell sheets (which will not be covered in this review article), modulating the scaffold microarchitecture is one of the most potent ways of achieving biomimetic tissues. A three-dimensional (3D) version of this biomimicry is a relatively recent phenomenon, and this research field is currently under intense exploration.[5] A key advantage of incorporating 3D microfeatures within tissue engineering scaffolds is to recreate the spatial organization of different types of cells in the
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same way as in the target tissue. Similarly, various ECM or ECM-mimicking molecules can be spatially distributed in a predetermined manner to enhance biomimicry.

Advances in microfabrication technologies have been exploited by an increasing number of research groups. Often technologies from other engineering disciplines have been translated and utilized in creating microfeatures in engineered scaffolds in a controlled manner. These include photolithographic approach of the electrical engineering, electrospinning tools of the textile industry, emulsification and fluid dynamics principles of chemical engineering and rapid prototyping methods of mechanical engineering. Currently, there are various 3D microfabrication techniques that have been explored as a potential remedy to the challenges facing tissue engineers. These challenges include controlling cell-cell and cell-material interactions for multicellular engineered tissues, vascularization of engineered tissues for proper oxygen/nutrient delivery, and directing stem cell fate using microengineered platforms. Here, we will first discuss the fabrication methods for creating 3D microscale tissue engineering constructs and then discuss the application of these approaches to the above mentioned problems.

2. Approaches for Engineering 3D Microfabricated Tissues

2.1. Photomask-Based Methods

One commonly used technique to create micropatterned tissues and scaffolds is the use of direct polymerization through photomasks. A photomask is a two dimensional (2D) pattern printed onto a transparent sheet, designed such that light only
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acrylate-based polymer systems, the prepolymer backbone contains acrylate groups, which are involved in the crosslinking of polymer when exposed to light in the presence of the photoinitiator. There are many photoinitiators used in these processes, however, in general, all photoinitiators behave similarly in that light exposure causes the photoinitiators to decompose into free radicals. These free radicals then interact with the highly reactive double bond in the acrylate groups, leading to the formation of covalent crosslinks. This reaction can occur rapidly, often within seconds or fractions of a second, dependent on the relative concentrations of the prepolymer and photoinitiator as well as the strength and duration of light exposure. Specific care must be taken to limit the exposure time to avoid this reaction from spreading outside the region of light exposure, as this may decrease the fidelity of the desired pattern. Careful modulation of the UV light exposure and the photoinitiator concentration must be observed to ensure that the cell viability is not affected by excess free radical generation or DNA damage due to UV light. In addition, the conditions of polymerization and the prepolymer characteristics, such as the solvent the prepolymer is dissolved in, can be cytotoxic.

Typically, photomask-based approaches are used with prepolymer systems which form hydrogels upon crosslinking or passes through the mask in specific patterns. The use of a photomask in conjunction with polymers that crosslink due to exposure to light of various wavelengths, most often, but not always ultraviolet (UV) wavelengths, restricts the crosslinking of the polymer to the regions where the light is permitted to pass through the photomask. As only the portions of the prepolymer residing below the transparent regions of the mask receive the necessary stimulus to initiate the polymerization reaction, only these areas are crosslinked. This technique has been used successfully for a number of hydrogels as well as other polymers, typically those containing acrylate groups in their backbone.

The most common components required to create micropatterned polymer structures using photomask-based techniques are a light source, a photocurable polymer, a photomask, and a photoinitiator. As expected, there are several conditions, which must exist in order to create micropatterned polymers using photomask-based techniques. First, one needs a polymer with the appropriate chemistry that is amenable to polymerization caused by photosensitive free radicals. An appropriate photoinitiator must be used that not only initiates the reaction, but is stable enough to allow for rapid cessation of the reaction to avoid loss of pattern fidelity, while minimizing cytotoxicity. For acrylate-based polymer systems, the prepolymer backbone contains acrylate groups, which are involved in the crosslinking of polymer when exposed to light in the presence of the photoinitiator. There are many photoinitiators used in these processes, however, in general, all photoinitiators behave similarly in that light exposure causes the photoinitiators to decompose into free radicals. These free radicals then interact with the highly reactive double bond in the acrylate groups, leading to the formation of covalent crosslinks. This reaction can occur rapidly, often within seconds or fractions of a second, dependent on the relative concentrations of the prepolymer and photoinitiator as well as the strength and duration of light exposure. Specific care must be taken to limit the exposure time to avoid this reaction from spreading outside the region of light exposure, as this may decrease the fidelity of the desired pattern. Careful modulation of the UV light exposure and the photoinitiator concentration must be observed to ensure that the cell viability is not affected by excess free radical generation or DNA damage due to UV light. In addition, the conditions of polymerization and the prepolymer characteristics, such as the solvent the prepolymer is dissolved in, can be cytotoxic.

Typically, photomask-based approaches are used with prepolymer systems which form hydrogels upon crosslinking or
polymerization. Aqueous environment of a highly hydrophilic prepolymer solution provides sufficient mobility to the polymer chains and the acrylate groups, which are able to come into contact with one another as well as the free radical products of the photoinitiator. In addition, the use of hydrophilic polymer improves the viability of encapsulated cells within the hydrogels due to their diffusive properties.[13–15] Some examples of commonly used hydrogels for these applications are poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG),[16–22] methacrylated hyaluronic acid (meHA),[23–30] gelatin methacrylate (GelMA),[3,31–35] as well as co-polymer mixes containing these and other polymers.[24,31,33,36,37]

PEG is one of the most hydrophilic polymers and its acrylated form (PEG-diacrylate or PEGDA) has been used extensively for fabricating cell-laden micropatterned structures.[10,13] PEGDA hydrogels have demonstrated the ability to maintain encapsulated cell viability, structural integrity and micropattern fidelity below 100 μm feature size.[6,7,10] In addition researchers have micropatterned PEG to fabricate macroscale engineered tissues with microscale features.[10,11,14,20,38] One example of such an approach is the engineering of functional hepatic tissues.[6–8] In this process a three step photolithographic process was used to generate honeycomb structures which supported and surrounded the individual hepatic microtissues (Figure 2). Primary hepatic cells were mixed with RGD functionalized PEGDA and placed into a chamber where the height was controlled by removable spacers. Overhang containing 3D structures were created through exposure to UV light using different photomasks in a layered fashion. After culturing these 3D hydrogel systems, the encapsulated cells remained viable and produced albumin and urea, demonstrating that the cell-laden constructs possessed properties similar to native tissues.

Common problems associated with PEGDA hydrogels include the lack of cell responsive characteristics, such as the ability for cells to attach, spread, migrate as well as polymer network degradation.[13] For some cell types, this lack of cell responsive characteristics is not an issue, and therefore loss of viability is less common, however for many engineered tissues, such as those requiring functional vasculature formation, this inability to allow for cell migration and alignment generates a major challenge. Researchers have sought to alleviate these shortcomings through conjugation of enzyme degradable motifs,[39] as well as cell binding motifs onto the PEG backbone.[6,20,21] These modifications allow for cell binding and migration through degradation of the polymer chains. Another solution to this problem is combining PEG with other polymers with cell responsive elements.[33] For example PEG and GelMA can be combined to generate a tunable, cell-initiated degradable gel with improved long-term cell viability compared to PEG alone. GelMA is a biocompatible and biodegradable material which has attracted much attention. Gelatin, or denatured collagen, is an inexpensive material, which can be isolated from various animal sources relatively easily. Although it is denatured, it maintains cell binding capacity. Modification of gelatin with methacrylate groups makes it light polymerizable and patternable into different geometries.[14] Photopatterning of 3D cell-laden hydrogels has also proven to be an effective technique for creating microscale building blocks for constructing macroscale structures with microscale resolution and architecture.[10–12,38,40]

Overall, the use of photopatterning to create microscale structures is a simple and straightforward way to study tissue morphogenesis, cell behavior in response to microarchitectural cues, as well as a basis for creating macroscale engineered tissues with microscale resolution and controlled co-culture. As more photopolymerizable polymers are developed, this area of research will continue to expand and positively impact the field of tissue engineering.

2.2. Micromold-Based Methods

Micromolding is a robust, reproducible and inexpensive fabrication approach that enables patterning of both planar and non-planar substrates.[41–43] It is one of the most widely used methods for generation of micropatterns in 3D tissue engineering constructs.[42,44] Shape and size of these patterns can be precisely controlled and they can range from less than 1 micron to greater than 500 μm.[45,46]

This approach often involves the use of elastomeric polymers, which are casted to form molds. Crosslinking of the prepolymer solution between a flat surface and a patterned elastomer confers the shape of the pattern to the crosslinked gel (Figure 3). The crosslinking is usually carried out by UV or temperature assisted mechanisms. Although micromolding is a popular technique to generate patterned cell-laden hydrogels, there are some drawbacks. For instance, hydrophobicity and swelling of the elastomeric molds are concerns with this
to produce microfabricated tissue constructs for tissue engineering applications.

2.3. Rapid Prototyping (RP)-Based Methods

One of the requirements for translational applications of engineered tissues is a high throughput and automated method, which can also produce patient specific constructs. RP-based methods can potentially be used to fabricate such customized tissues. Besides being high throughput, fully automated and patient specific, these RP-based approaches have excellent 3D microfabrication capacity. [53–55]

RP is an automated additive manufacturing technique, which is a relatively recent fabrication method that was introduced in the 1990s. Additive manufacturing is the “process of joining materials to make objects from 3D model data, usually layer upon layer, as opposed to subtractive manufacturing methodologies of traditional machining” as defined by American Society for Testing and Materials. Various additive fabrication methods have found applications in tissue engineering (Figure 4). The phrase “rapid” is coined to emphasize the production time. It enabled fabrication of a construct within only few hours, which could have taken from several hours to several days using contemporary methods.

Although various RP techniques are available, they all employ the same basic processes: 1) Computer-Aided Design (CAD) modeling of the construct, 2) conversion to a compatible format (i.e. STL), 3) slice the file into thin cross-sectional layers, 4) layer-by-layer fabrication of the construct. As the first step, pre-existing CAD files as well as custom made ones created using any solid modeler (such as AutoCAD or Pro/ENGINEER) can be used. This step is usually the same in all of the RP techniques. As a second step, the CAD is converted into a consistent file format in order to standardize the RP industry. To do so, the STL format has been used as a tribute to the format created by the first RP technique (i.e. stereolithography). The STL format approximates a 3D and curved surface as a set of planar triangles by storing the coordinates of the vertices and the normal elements. [47] Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) is usually the elastomeric polymer of choice to produce the negative replica from silicon masters yielding molds for patterning. [43,47–49] PDMS is an inert polymer with high permeability possessing optical transparency and sufficient flexibility. [43,48] In addition to PDMS, polyurethanes, polyimines or Teflon are also utilized to prepare molds for patterning purposes.

PDMS micromolds can be coated with other polymers to create tissue constructs through cell aggregation and retrieval. In a recent study, microgrooved molds fabricated from PDMS were chemically coated with a temperature responsive polymer, poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAAm), to generate size and shape controlled tissue constructs. [51] NIH-3T3 cells were seeded on PNIPAAm coated PDMS molds and cultured for three days to allow for the formation of tissue fibers. PDMS molds were then flipped on a glass slide to retrieve these fibers at room temperature, which was facilitated by the expansion of the PNIPAAm material. These tissue units can be subsequently assembled to build larger constructs for tissue engineering and be useful in applications where alignment of cells is required. In addition to coating PDMS, one can generate the entire micromolds from PDMS. For example, patterned cells were sequentially encapsulated in agarose hydrogels by using PNIPAAm patterned molds to create 3D microtissues. [52] In this study, cells were uniformly distributed within cubical, cylindrical or striped hydrogels. First, PNIPAAm patterns were made by UV-crosslinking and equilibrated at 24 °C to obtain their original shape. Second, cells were encapsulated in agarose gel precursor, then molded in patterns and crosslinked through incubation at 25 °C to achieve the mold patterns. After equilibrating at 37 °C, microgels were retrieved from the molds by taking advantage of the shrinking ability of PNIPAAm at 37 °C. Furthermore, multicomponent hydrogels were generated using both NIH-3T3 cells and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) or HepG2 cells and HUVECs using the same patterns. To achieve this, a second cell type was encapsulated in agarose and placed in the spaces created by the shrinking of PNIPAAm mold allowing two cell types to be patterned in the same gel structure. This micromolding technique can be used to produce microfabricated tissue constructs for tissue engineering applications.

![Figure 4. Rapid prototyping (RP) techniques frequently employed in building microfabricated tissue engineering scaffold.](image-url)
vector for each triangle. Next, the 3D design is sliced into layers. The thickness of the layers can be varied from 0.01 mm to 0.7 mm depending on the RP technique. The program may also generate an auxiliary structure to support the model in case of delicate features such as thin-walled sections or internal cavities. The construct is fabricated one layer at a time.

Many competing additive technologies are available. Their main differences are found in the method how each layer is built. In some of these methods, thin layers are cut into desired shapes and consecutively joined together, while some requires liquid materials to be cured, and in others melting or softening of the material is used to produce the layers. One possible way of classifying RP techniques can be according to the nature of the material that is used for the fabrication. In broad sense, this can be a photolabile material (fabrication using light), or a material that requires high temperature to form an intact construct (fabrication using heat). Figure 4 summarizes some of these techniques relevant to tissue engineering applications.

2.3.1. Photolabile RP Approaches

The work that initiated the revolution of RP was Charles W. Hull’s 1986 patent on stereolithography (SLA) (US Pat. 4,575,330). In this technique, 3D models are built, layer-by-layer, from liquid photolabile polymers (i.e. resin) that can be cured when exposed to UV light. In SLA, fabrication occurs on a platform that is immersed in a container of liquid resin, just below the surface. Highly focused UV laser (usually 325 nm wavelength) traces out each layer, solidifying the model’s cross sections one layer at a time, leaving excess areas in its liquid form. After each layer is fabricated, the platform is incrementally lowered by a motorized elevator and a new layer of liquid resin is coated prior to laser tracing of the next slice. This process is repeated until the construct is complete. In its conventional form, SLA was used to fabricate micropatterned scaffolds for bone tissue engineering applications.\cite{Cooke1996} Cooke et al. used a blend of diethyl fumarate and poly(propylene fumarate) with SLA for scaffold fabrication. After this initial attempt to utilize SLA for hard tissue engineering applications, photolabile hydrogels were also microfabricated using this approach for possible soft tissue engineering applications.\cite{Arcaute2013a,Arcaute2013b,Arcaute2013c} Arcaute et al. characterized PEG solutions to control the crosslinking depth, which determines hydrogel thickness, which is crucial for fabricating structures with overhangs.\cite{Arcaute2013c} Characterization was done by measuring the thickness at different laser energies and photoinitiator concentrations. Two concentrations of PEGDA 1000 Da (20% and 30% (w/v)) were characterized. By incorporation of photocompatible photoinitiator Irgacure 2959, cells could be mixed into the polymer solution and cell-laden hydrogels could be fabricated. Similar approach was used to micropattern multiple materials (i.e. different molecular weight PEGs) which can be useful for fabricating complex tissues (or tissue interfaces) that require different material properties in a single construct.\cite{Arcaute2013a} These studies characterized the fabrication parameters required for incorporating cell-compatible biomaterials with the SLA technology as a first step towards its utilization in tissue engineering approaches. However, viability and uniformity of the encapsulated cells were still an issue. Chan et al. modified the SLA process from its conventional top-down version to a bottom-up approach.\cite{Arcaute2013c} In the top-down approach, the elevator where the construct is fabricated moves inside a vat that is filled with a prepolymer solution. When cells are added to the prepolymer solution, they sit in the vat until all the layers are formed. This can decrease cell viability since the cells are continuously in contact with the photoinitiator. It may also result in cells’ settling towards the end layers, which leads to non-uniform cell encapsulation. In the bottom-up version, fresh cell containing prepolymer solution was added on each layer. This allows uniform cell distribution and viability at least 15 days (Figure 5A and B).\cite{Arcaute2013c} This bottom-up approach also enabled the fabrication of multicellular constructs with varying cell types in each layer. In addition to the inherent z variability that comes with the layer-by-layer microfabrication approach, this method was further improved by incorporating multiple cell and material types in x and y directions.\cite{Arcaute2013b} Briefly, uncrosslinked polymer solution can be washed away in between the steps to add different cell and material types in different compartments in all 3 dimensions. SLA has a resolution of around 100 μm in step size (z resolution) and the x-y resolution depends on the laser beam diameter which is usually ca. 75 to 250 μm.\cite{Arcaute2013c}

An approach that provides higher resolution with similar processing principles to a conventional SLA process, which is commonly referred as microstereolithography (μSL) uses a digital micromirror-array device (DMD).\cite{Durr2008, Durr2010} The major difference is that instead of scanning each layer with a laser, DMD-based approach uses a digital mask which is designed and sliced for each layer as usual, but this time as a series of PowerPoint slides. These slides are used to generate a dynamic mask through being executed on the DMD chip. This dynamic mask is used to create the micropatterns in each layer. The light source is illuminated on the polymer surface, resulting in the solidification of the exposed regions. Microfabricated complex 3D constructs can be built by sequential polymerization of the subsequent layers (Figure 5C). This system uses a commercial projector and comprises of five main components: a dynamic mask created by the DMD chip embedded in the projector, a projection lens assembly, a translation stage with a micrometer, a vat containing macromer solution and a light source. Features as small as 20 μm can be fabricated using the μSL. This method has been employed in order to fabricate polymeric scaffolds containing pores and channels with wide variety of shapes, dimensions and layers.\cite{Durr2008, Durr2010} Different geometries (hexagons, triangles, honeycombs with triangles, and squares) can be incorporated within a single scaffold (165–650 μm). Optical Diffraction and diffusion are considered to be the main limitation of this technique.\cite{Durr2010}

2.3.2. High Temperature RP Approaches

High temperature approaches in RP, also known as “melt–disolution deposition system” are a class of technologies in which during the process of manufacturing, each layer is created by extrusion of a material through an aperture while it moves across the plane of the layer cross section. The material cools, solidifies itself and fixed to the previous layer. Successive formation of layers results in a complex 3D construct with a defined geometry in micron range. The basis of the process is either
employing a powder form of a suitable material and apply high temperature to melt/bond, which is called particle bonding, or using a material which is already in the molten state, which is called melt deposition.\cite{64} Although these methods are useful in creating microstructured scaffolds, utilization of high temperature renders them undesirable for applications requiring incorporation of bioactive agents.

In particle bonding approach, particles are bonded selectively in successive thin layers of powder material. Since the object could be embedded, hence supported by the unprocessed powder, this technique enables the fabrication of overhanging features as well as through channels\cite{55} Major advantage of this approach is its ability to fabricate porous structures with controllable macro- and microporosity.\cite{65} In addition to that, the powder-based materials provide a rough surface to the fabricated scaffold, which can enhance the cell adhesion. A commonly used particle bonding method in tissue engineering is selective laser sintering (SLS). The basic concept of SLS is similar to that of SLA. A laser is employed to merge powdered materials in a predetermined manner into a solid object. The system contains a platform similar to that of SLA, but used with a heat-fusable powder instead of photolabile resin. The platform is lowered and fabrication continues until the part is complete. Finally, the excess powder, which serves as support during the process, will be washed off. Most biomaterials that do not deteriorate but can fuse under a laser beam can be used for fabrication by SLS. In addition to that, organic solvents are not required for SLS, which is usually the case with synthetic polymers for preparing solutions of them in order to cast them to certain shapes or create patterns. SLS was used to fabricate scaffolds from poly(caprolactone) (PCL) in order to successfully construct prototypes of mini-pig’s mandibular condyle scaffolds.\cite{66} These constructs could be fabricated within three hours, and replicated the desired anatomy precisely. SLS was also used to microfabricate ceramic,\cite{67,68} polymeric\cite{69–71} and composite\cite{72–74} scaffolds mostly for bone tissue engineering applications. Resolution of SLS is dependent on the laser beam diameter similar to SLA.

Fused deposition modeling (FDM) is one of the most commonly employed melt deposition techniques for fabricating tissue engineering scaffolds. FDM employs thermoplastic materials that are liquefied and deposited by an extrusion head, based on a CAD plan. The materials are deposited in layers as fine as 125 μm thick. In general, the melt process is not desirable for many tissue engineering applications where bioactivity of the scaffolds is important. The major drawbacks of FDM are low resolution, limited choice of materials and high operating temperatures.\cite{55} Furthermore its resolution is relatively low (ca. 250 μm). Since the material should be still usable/applicable after being melted and casted, only a limited range of materials can be used in FDM. This criterion almost completely excludes all natural polymers. Also, the operating temperature of the
FDM system is high for many biomolecules; and this affects the biomimetic characteristic of the scaffolds. Despite these drawbacks, FDM has been used to fabricate a number of scaffolds with highly interconnecting and controllable pore structure mainly for bone tissue engineering applications.\(^{75}\) These scaffolds were fabricated using synthetic polymers such as PCL\(^{6,72}\) and poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA),\(^{78}\) and composites of them with ceramics.\(^{79}\)

### 2.4. Approaches for Controlled Microporosity

#### 2.4.1. Gas Foaming

Gas foaming techniques have been employed to create biomaterials with uniform and controlled microporosity for generating engineered tissue structures. These techniques are based on the dispersion of gas bubbles as an internal phase through a continuous phase of a polymer solution.\(^{80}\) The continuous phase is then solidified around the dispersed internal phase through the polymerization or fast gelation. The porous structure of polymer is formed when the gas bubbles diffuse out from the polymer matrix. The gas bubbles can be (a) generated in situ through chemical reaction (conventional gas foaming),\(^{81–83}\) (b) formed through the addition of an external inert gas to the continuous phase of the polymer,\(^{84,85}\) or (c) released from a pre-saturated dense gas \(\text{CO}_2\)/polymer mixture at high pressure (gas foaming with dense gas \(\text{CO}_2\)).\(^{86,87}\)

Conventional gas foaming techniques have been utilized to create highly porous scaffolds from both natural and synthetic polymers. In this method, an inert gas such as \(\text{N}_2\) or \(\text{CO}_2\) is generated via a chemical reaction by the addition of a blowing/foaming agent (e.g. sodium bicarbonate or ammonium bicarbonate) to the prepolymer solution. The use of a surfactant is required to stabilize the foam and avoid liquid drainage and bubbles’ coalescence prior to solidification of the polymer phase.\(^{88}\) The microstructures of resultant polymeric scaffolds can be controlled by the foaming agent concentration, surfactant type and its concentration, and the solidification process. Highly porous alginate scaffolds were fabricated by the formation of \(\text{CO}_2\) bubbles through the reaction between tartaric acid and sodium bicarbonate in an aqueous solution of alginate.\(^{81}\) The fabricated foam was stabilized by addition of Pluronic F-108 surfactant prior to crosslinking reaction which locked-in the structure of resultant scaffold.\(^{81}\) It was found that the morphological characteristics of the fabricated scaffolds could be controlled by the concentration of surfactant. Increasing Pluronic F-108 concentration from 4 to 6% (w/v) enhanced the scaffold pore sizes from 180 \(\mu\text{m}\) to 260 \(\mu\text{m}\) due to the increased expansion of polymer foam at higher surfactant concentration.\(^{81}\) A similar approach was used to fabricate porous gelatin scaffolds by the formation of \(\text{N}_2\) gas through the reaction between sulfamic acid and sodium nitrite in gelatin solution containing a foam stabilizer.\(^{82}\) The foam was allowed for fast gelation at low temperature after which the generated gas bubbles were released from the polymer matrix to form a porous gelatin scaffold. The fabricated construct had uniform porosity with average pore size in the range of 90-230 \(\mu\text{m}\) and 97% pore interconnectivity. Furthermore, It was shown that the resultant hydrogels supported human C3A cells adhesion and viability.\(^{82}\) Biopolymeric scaffolds with controlled microarchitectures were also formed by the addition of an inert gas (e.g. argon), with controlled flow rate, into an aqueous solution of a polymer containing a surfactant. Following the foam formation, the polymer solution was solidified through physical gelation and subsequent chemical crosslinking to form a stable scaffold. This method was used to create uniform porosity in gelatin,\(^{84}\) hyaluronic acid, chitosan, and alginate scaffolds.\(^{85}\) It was reported that the volume of injected gas allowed the control over the microstructures of fabricated scaffolds. For example, the average pore size of gelatin scaffolds fabricated using this method was increased from 250 \(\mu\text{m}\) to 360 \(\mu\text{m}\) when the injected gas volume was enhanced from 80% (v/v) to 90% (v/v).\(^{84}\) Similar approach was employed to form hyaluronic acid and chitosan scaffolds with uniform average pore size of 250 \(\mu\text{m}\) and 210 \(\mu\text{m}\) and pore interconnectivity of 88% and 95%, respectively.\(^{85}\)

Gas foaming using dense gas \(\text{CO}_2\) has been used widely as an effective means of producing porous biomaterials for various tissue engineering applications. This technique eliminates the use of organic solvents and allows for incorporation of temperature sensitive growth factors into the scaffold due to the low critical temperature of dense gas \(\text{CO}_2\) (31 °C). Dense gas \(\text{CO}_2\) has been used as a plasticizer and foaming agent to create porosity in the structure of various hydrophobic polymers such as poly(lactic acid) (PLA), PLGA and PCL.\(^{86–89}\) Using this technique, the microarchitectures of resultant scaffolds can be controlled by processing conditions such as pressure, temperature, soaking time, and depressurization rate.\(^{87,89}\) Tai \textit{et al.} used dense gas foaming process to fabricate PLA and PLGA scaffolds with controlled pore sizes and structures for tissue engineering applications.\(^{87}\) It was reported that the pore sizes of fabricated scaffolds were increased when slower rate of depressurization was used as this allowed longer period for pore growth. However, higher pressure and longer soaking time allowed more \(\text{CO}_2\) to diffuse into the polymer matrices, leading to higher nucleation density and production of smaller pores.\(^{87}\) Due to low solubility of dense gas \(\text{CO}_2\) in hydrophilic polymers, \(\text{CO}_2\)-water emulsion templating techniques have been developed to increase \(\text{CO}_2\) diffusion into a biopolymer solution and create uniform porosity. These techniques have been used to produce highly porous hydrogel scaffolds from various biopolymers including dextran,\(^{90}\) chitosan,\(^{91}\) and alginate,\(^{92}\) and synthetic polymers such as poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA), blended PVA/PEG,\(^{91}\) and CaCO\(_3\)/polyacrylamide composites.\(^{93}\) It has been shown that the morphologies of porous hydrogels, produced by \(\text{CO}_2\)-water emulsion templating techniques, can be tailored by the polymer solution concentration, surfactant concentration, and volume fraction of \(\text{CO}_2\) as an internal phase. Palocci \textit{et al.} used \(\text{CO}_2\)-water emulsion templating method to produce highly porous dextran hydrogels with properties resembling natural tissues.\(^{90}\) The pore architecture of fabricated dextran hydrogels was controlled by the concentration of surfactant; increasing the surfactant concentration from 3.5 to 5% (v/v) resulted in the formation of more interconnected pores. In one study, the variation of \(\text{CO}_2\) volume fraction had no significant effect on the hydrogel microstructure.\(^{90}\) However, Lee \textit{et al.} showed that the porous structures of emulsion-templated
PVA hydrogels could be controlled by altering the CO₂ volume fraction. It was found that the average pore size of resultant hydrogels increased about 2-folds when the volume fraction of CO₂ enhanced from 74% to 79%. Similarly, Partap et al. demonstrated that the pore sizes of calcium alginate hydrogels fabricated by using CO₂-water emulsion templating technique was significantly increased from 43.3 μm to 250 μm when the CO₂ volume fraction was enhanced from 40% to 78%. In this study, dense gas CO₂ simultaneously served as a templating phase to generate porosity and a reagent to induce acidity that released calcium ions from their chelated form and initiated physical crosslinking of the polymer phase. The resultant alginate hydrogels exhibited uniform interconnected pores in the range of 24–250 μm depending on the surfactant concentration and CO₂ fraction.

Dense gas CO₂ was used to create porous tropoelastin/elastin composites with highly interconnected pores without the use of any surfactants. In this study, an aqueous solution of tropoelastin/elastin containing a crosslinking agent was pressurized with CO₂ to dissolve the gas into the aqueous solution. The pores with ca. 78 μm size were then generated as a result of the release of CO₂ from the aqueous solution during subsequent depressurization. The mechanical properties and microarchitectures of fabricated composites were controlled by processing parameters such as pressure, depressurization rate, crosslinker concentration, and biopolymer compositions. The resultant composites were shown to support human skin fibroblast growth and migration within the 3D structures (Figure 6B). In a recent study, a combined gas foaming/salt leaching process was developed to produce porous 3D PCL/elastin composites. In this process, PCL scaffolds with average pore size of 540 μm were first fabricated by melt-mixing of PCL with salt particles and subsequent gas foaming by using dense gas CO₂. The pore characteristics of PCL scaffolds were manipulated by gas foaming parameters such as pressure, temperature, depressurization rate, and salt concentration.

The PCL scaffolds were then impregnated with elastin solution and subsequently crosslinked under high pressure CO₂ to form microporous structure of elastin within the macropores of PCL (Figure 6C). It was shown that the presence of crosslinked elastin within the pores of PCL promoted primary articular cartilage chondrocyte adhesion and proliferation within the 3D composites (Figure 6D).

Gas foaming processes are suitable techniques for the fabrication of porous scaffolds for various tissue engineering applications as they produce uniform porosity within materials and allow control over the microarchitecture of scaffolds. Biomaterials fabricated using these techniques can provide appropriate templates for cells seeded within 3D structures. However, gas foaming techniques commonly involve conditions and
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**Figure 6.** SEM images of scaffold with controlled microporosity. A) Tropoelastin/elastin composite fabricated by using dense gas CO₂, B) the composite supported human skin fibroblast growth and migration, C) PCL/elastin scaffold produced by gas foaming/salt leaching process, D) the fabricated scaffold promoted primary articular cartilage chondrocyte adhesion and proliferation. Reproduced with permission. Copyright 2010 & 2011, Elsevier.
chemicals that are detrimental for the cells, such as high pressure in dense gas foaming process and the use toxic surfactant in conventional gas foaming. Furthermore, uniform cell distribution within the material might be prevented because of the inability to encapsulate the cells during the initial fabrication of the scaffold.

2.4.2. Porogen Leaching

Porogen leaching techniques have been widely used to generate porous scaffolds with controlled pore architectures. These techniques are based on the dispersion of a porogen within a polymer solution followed by solidification of the continuous polymer phase around the dispersed porogen particles. The porosity is then formed by immersing the porogen/polymer construct in a suitable solvent to leach out the particles.[96] Various porogen materials such as salt,[89,97–100] sugar,[100] paraffin,[101] and gelatin[102–104] have been used in this technique to generate highly biomimetic materials for different tissue engineering applications. The pore characteristics of the resultant scaffolds including average pore size, porosity and pore interconnectivity can be controlled by the porogen geometry, size, and concentration.[89,100,105,106] For example, Horak et al. produced superporous poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (pHEMA) scaffolds by combining a salt leaching technique with radical polymerization.[106] It was found that the pore sizes of pHEMA scaffolds increased from 28 μm to 69 μm by increasing the salt concentration from 40 to 41.4 vol.%. The porosity of resultant scaffolds was in the range of 81–91% depending on the volume of porogen.[100]

In another variation, PLLA scaffolds, with controlled microstructures, were fabricated by using sugar sphere template leaching technique combined with thermally induced phase separation method.[107] In this study, a sugar template was first prepared by bonding sugar spheres with desirable sizes through hexane and heat treatment. The polymer solution was then cast onto the sugar template followed by thermally induced phase separation and leaching process. Using this techniques, porous PLLA scaffolds with pore sizes in the range of 180–250 μm and porosity of 97–98.2% were obtained.[107] In addition it was shown that the sugar spheres allowed control over the pore morphology and pore sizes of scaffolds; increasing the sugar particles enhanced the pore sizes and porosity of the resultant scaffolds.[107] Following simulated body fluid incubation, bone-like apatite layer were grown uniformly throughout 3D scaffold. This demonstrated the bioactivity of the scaffold and its potential for bone repair.[107] A similar process by using paraffin spheres was employed to fabricate 3D nanofibrous gelatin scaffolds[108] and gelatin/apatite composites[109] with tunable physical properties, including fiber diameter and length, porosity, pore size, pore interconnectivity, and mechanical properties, for bone tissue engineering applications. Larger paraffin spheres led to larger scaffold pore size as controlled by the size of paraffin spheres, which in turn controls the pores sizes of gelatin scaffolds. In vitro studies demonstrated that the MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts seeded gelatin scaffolds had a greater dimensional stability compared to Gelfoam (a commercial gelatin foam) after four weeks of cell culture, demonstrating its ability to support tissue regeneration.[108] In another study, gelatin particles were utilized as a porogen to produce porous PCL scaffold by using a combined gas foaming/porogen leaching technique.[104,110] In this process, PCL was first melt-mixed with gelatin particles at 60 °C and then gas foamed by using a mixture of CO2/N2 as foaming agent. The porogen was subsequently removed by soaking the blend in water to form a porous structure of PCL containing both macropores (average pore diameter ~312 μm) and micropores (average pore diameter ~38 μm).[104,110] It was demonstrated that the weight ratio of gelatin and gas foaming parameters allowed the modulation of scaffold microstructures such as microporosity, average pore size, and pore interconnectivity.[110] The fabricated porous PCL scaffolds promoted human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) adhesion, proliferation, and 3D colonization.[104]

Particle leaching techniques allow for control over the overall porosity and average pore size of scaffolds. Control of biomaterial microstructures is important towards obtaining functional engineered tissues. Combining these processes with microfabrication technologies such as micropatterning, micromolding, and rapid prototyping techniques can provide advanced control over the microstructures, as well as macrostructures to create structurally biomimetic and functional engineered tissues.

3. Applications of Microfabrication in 3D Tissue Engineering

3.1. Controlling Cell-Material and Cell-Cell Interactions

The ability to create biomimetic microenvironments could potentially be the solution to the majority of the problems that tissue engineers are experiencing today.[111–117] The most prevalent among these problems is to fabricate multicellular complex tissues. The term biomimetic is coined to comprise precise, pre-designed, spatially patterned and temporally controlled biochemical and physical manipulation of the cellular micro-environment.[118–121] Biomimetic multicellular complex tissues can be achieved through 3D microfabrication approaches that are mentioned in the previous sections. Most recently, these technologies have started to be utilized as possible platforms to investigate cell-material and cell-cell interactions as a first step towards a functional engineered tissue. In particular, 3D micro-features have been used to spatially control cell-cell interactions and to fabricate patterned 3D co-cultures of multiple cell types, to fabricate 3D scaffold with micropatterned materials or bioactive molecules, and to manipulate cell-material interactions via scaffold geometry.

The conventional approach to generate 3D co-cultures has been to seed cells on a biodegradable scaffold.[122] However, this approach has certain limitations since the cells in such constructs do not fully recreate tissue-like structures.[123,124] This may affect the final tissue function as adhesion, proliferation, differentiation and migration of cells are influenced by the nature of their homotypic and heterotypic cellular interactions.[25] Thus, it may be difficult to fabricate fully functioning tissues if the spatial and temporal presentation of such biological signals are not taken into consideration. In their study, Hui and Bhatia demonstrated the importance of these multicellular
interactions by using microfabrication techniques to achieve spatiotemporal control of the hepatocyte functionality. In particular they used a microfabricated movable culture device in which fibroblasts and hepatocytes were localized in a patterned manner to study the dynamics of cell-cell interaction. Their results showed that the hepatocyte phenotype was best retained when these cells were in direct contact with fibroblasts for a limited time (of the order of hours), and then separated for up to an effective range of 400 μm or less.[125]

Spatiotemporal presentation of bioactive factors can be detrimental for their action. They might be required to be presented in a sustained manner and their amounts might fluctuate depending on maturity of the cells. Furthermore, such bioactive molecules in native tissue may act in a bidirectional manner and with controlled feedback loops. Therefore, using conditioned media or adding bioactive molecules exogenously might not necessarily result in the expected outcome. In a recent study, microfabrication techniques were exploited to examine this question. It was found that skeletal muscle and neuron cells that were encapsulated in a spatially patterned manner resulted in enhanced functionality of the neurons compared to neurons encapsulated alone, while the conditioned media from the skeletal muscle cultures had no effect.[99]

Most of the spatially defined 3D microfabrication studies in literature have used hydrogels as the material of choice. There are two main reasons for this. First, hydrogels closely resemble the native tissue matrix due to their high water content and polymeric network structure.[126–128] A second factor is that cell-laden hydrogels enable the confinement of different cells or materials to certain compartments in 3D structures.[129] This property can be used to control cell behavior in a spatially regulated manner. For example, cell migration can be controlled by using different biomaterials, or tailored by adding peptide sequences that can render non-degradable hydrogels degradable via cellular enzymes.[125] Therefore, most of the examples in this section involve hydrogel-based scaffolds.

One approach in which cell-cell contact has been controlled by using microfabricated systems has been through the use of microwell templates. In this approach, photolithography or micromolding are used to fabricate platforms that can be used for controlled cell aggregation.[50,130–132] For example, polymers such as poly(acrylamide) (PAA), PEG and hyaluronic acid have been micromolded to create microscale wells with different dimensions and geometries. Since these materials are non-adhesive, these microwells enhanced the degree of cell-cell interactions relative to cell-surface interactions and can be used to form cell aggregates, which can be further exploited to form 3D tissues. By varying the dimensions of these microwells the size and shape of the resulting cell aggregate can be controlled in a uniform manner.[50] Furthermore, these aggregates can be retrieved from microwells by mechanical agitation. A similar approach was used to co-culture fibroblasts and HUVECs, in polyacrylamide microwells to form multilayered aggregate structures.[133] In these aggregates fibroblasts occupied the inner core while HUVECs formed an outer layer. Furthermore, when fibroblasts were added onto already formed HUVEC spheroids, cells reorganized so that the fibroblasts again occupied the core of the structure. In addition, properly functioning tissues may be generated by providing sufficient cellular contact and managing diffusion related challenges. For example, in one study photocurable chitosan was used to fabricate microstructures for culturing spheroids in a spatially controlled manner.[134] Chitosan was first micromolded by a UV-crosslinking procedure to produce 50 μm deep and 200 μm diameter microwell shaped structures. Hepatocytes were then seeded and cultured within fabricated construct for three days to form hemispherical spheroid structures. In vitro studies showed the liver specific function of the hepatocytes by using an albumin secretion assay, demonstrating the potential application of the resultant hydrogels for liver tissue engineering.

Many native tissues consist of repeated functional units, such as the lobules in the liver, nephrons in the kidney, and muscle fibers. These “tissue modules” encompass the bulk of the function of the organs and tissues they comprise.[10,40] Given the ability to encapsulate cells in microscale gels, microfabrication techniques can be used to accurately recreate engineered tissue components of specific shapes and microarchitecture, as well as to assemble these structures into macroscale tissues.[135] Towards this end in the last decade numerous studies have investigated high throughput, automated and bottom-up fabrication of cell-laden microtissues. Some of the methods have exploited fabrication techniques from other engineering applications (i.e. bioplotting and stereolithography)[38,61,63,136] while others have found novel ways for directed assembly of micrometer-sized gels through modulating the polymer chemistry.[40,137]

An early example in this process used directed assembly of cell-laden microgels by using hydrophobic and hydrophilic interactions (Figure 7).[10] When microscale hydrogels were agitated in a hydrophobic medium, they assembled in an organized manner as a result of local minimization of the interaction free energy at the hydrophilic surface area exposed to the hydrophobic oil. These microscale hydrogel blocks (or microgels) were fabricated in specific geometries to favor particular assembled structures.[40,137] After directing the assembly of microgels into pre-defined shapes, a second crosslinking step was employed to stabilize the assembled microgels. Reducing the surface tension of the surrounding solution and increasing the hydrophobicity of the microgel were shown to improve this assembly process. This directed assembly technique can particularly be useful in fabricating multicomponent cell-laden constructs to achieve biomimetic tissue engineered constructs.[138] Du et al. also demonstrated that the secondary structures formed depend on the shape and aspect ratio of the rectangular microgel units. The concept of directed assembly was further demonstrated by creating complementary hydrogel structures, which naturally fit together in a lock-and-key mechanism. This showed that through careful design of the microgels, secondary and tertiary structures could be predictably controlled. This technique enables fabrication of centimeter scale tissues through directed assembly of microgels essentially floating on the surface of a dense, hydrophobic liquid. Expanding on the early work of the Whitesides and colleagues[139] which demonstrated similar mesoscale self assembly with PDMS structures, Zamanian et al. showed that PEG microgels would aggregate in predictable patterns (Figure 7).[40] Similarly, with a brief secondary UV application, these centimeter scale single layer thick tissue-like sheets can be stabilized. Furthermore, a hierarchical
assembly process was developed to create complex microgel blocks containing controlled co-cultures that could be used for creating centimeter scale structures with controlled cellular organization. Further advances in this technique have used wetting templates to generate 3D structures by using the liquid-air driven assembly process to assemble gels.[12]

Micromolding is another approach used for assembly of small building blocks to make larger tissue-like units. By using this technique, free toroid units made from aggregated rat hepatoma (H35) cells were generated by utilizing agarose molds with different shapes (toroid and spheroid).[140] Due to the non-adhesive nature of agarose, cells seeded in wells interacted with each other to form the desired aggregate sizes (diameter: 400–1000 μm; height: 400–800 μm) and shapes in a uniform manner. The toroid aggregates were then allowed to assemble to form larger units. The toroids were found to be intact once they were retrieved from the molds. In addition, fusion of toroids was also observed and viability was found to be higher in the toroid shaped samples compared to spheroids. This approach can be conveniently used to generate multilayered scaffold-free tissue constructs in particular where formation of lumen structures are required.

Spatial organization of cells can also be achieved through dielectrophoretic forces exerted on cells within photolabile polymers and subsequent crosslinking. This approach has been applied on various cell types including hepatocytes, fibroblasts, chondrocytes and embryonic liver precursors (Figure 8).[141] In one example, up to 20,000 chondrocyte cell clusters with precise size and shape were formed in parallel within a thin 2 cm² hydrogel. These chondrocytes not only remained viable, but also were able to remain functional for at least 2 weeks. Interestingly, bovine articular chondrocyte biosynthesis was affected by the microarchitecture of these cell-laden hydrogels, which demonstrated cell function can potentially be controlled by the form of the engineered construct.

Spatial patterning of ECM components and growth factors is another crucial aspect of biomimicry. Such 3D tissue engineering constructs are important for fabricating multicellular complex tissues, since each cell type in the target tissue requires different microenvironmental cues.[2,142,143] Traditional tissue engineering methods, however are incapable of regulating various features at the length scales of a few microns to control cells in specific niches.[144–147] Approaches to create precise, spatially distributed microenvironments within a single scaffold therefore would be a significant advancement towards engineering complex tissues and develop concepts to ultimately engineer highly sophisticated organ structures. This can be achieved through the development of novel microfabrication techniques. One of the most potent techniques towards this end is stereolithography-based systems. These microfabrication methods not only allow for generation of complex layer-by-layer architectures but also enable precise, patterning of multiple material types or bioactive molecules.[61] Furthermore, bioactive molecules or controlled-released particles can be incorporated in different layers creating spatially distributed environments with micron size resolution. This technique was used to fabricate patterns of two different bioactive molecules using PEGDA solutions containing either Cy-5 or FITC-labeled polystyrene particles. Similar approaches were adapted to create 3D micro-patterned scaffolds made of multiple materials including different molecular weight PEGs,[57,148] and PEG-acrylated alginate composite.[59]

Controlling the scaffold geometry and shape in microscale is another essential aspect of biomimicry.[149–151] As in the case of employing multiple cells, material types and bioactive molecules that locally control the material properties (pore size, shape and distribution) can modulate cell-material interactions.
within the scaffolds was proven to be effective by showing the guidance of fibroblast migration. Using the same techniques, more than one type of cell attachment sequences were micropatterned in a single construct, which can be valuable in studies towards multicellular complex tissues.\textsuperscript{168} Bryant et al. combined a sphere templating technique with photolithography process to fabricate pHEMA hydrogels with well-defined architectures.\textsuperscript{169} In this study, polymer solution was poured over a poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) microsphere template and subsequently photopatterned to create microchannels within the hydrogels. Using this technique, vertical microchannels ranging from 360 μm to 730 μm were patterned into porous pHEMA hydrogels with pore sizes in the range of 62–147 μm.\textsuperscript{169} This sphere templating process allowed the control of the pore structure, size and interconnectivity while the photopatterning process enabled controlling the macroarchitecture of scaffold. The resultant hydrogels were shown to support elongation, spreading and fibrillar formation of C2C12 myoblasts.\textsuperscript{169}

Micromolding methods have also been used to guide cell alignment. For example, skeletal muscle cells were aligned and differentiated in the pores of micromolded fibrin gels, forming tissue constructs relevant for in vitro models.\textsuperscript{170} This was achieved by patterning C2C12 cell loaded collagen and fibrinogen hydrogels with PDMS molds that were previously treated with oxygen plasma. After gelation with the addition of sodium hydroxide (collagen) or thrombin (fibrinogen), the...
patterned cell-laden hydrogels were removed from the PDMS mold and fixed on a frame to generate myotubes with uniform alignment.

3.2. Vascularization of the 3D Engineered Tissues

Despite significant progresses in tissue engineering, a number of challenges remain towards developing fully functional off-the-shelf engineered tissue constructs. One engineering bottleneck is vascularization of the engineered tissues for sufficient delivery of nutrients and oxygen and removal of the waste products from the cells. Therefore, most of the success in this field has been made in developing thin and relatively avascular tissues such as skin, bladder and cartilage. In the past few years, there has been a tremendous effort in developing strategies to address this challenge and engineer thick and complex tissues or organs such as the heart, muscle, kidney, liver and lung. Recently advances in microtechnology have been proven to be a potentially useful tool in addressing the vascularization challenge in tissue engineering.

A number of studies have used micropatterning approaches for enhanced endothelial cell organization to promote vascularisation in engineered tissues. Commonly used biomaterials to this end include collagen, hyaluronic acid, alginate, PEG and PVA. Through the integration of microtechnology, attempts have been made to tailor these biomaterials to mimic the native tissue environment. For example West and colleagues...
have used PEG to generate hydrogels for vascularization applications.\cite{176,177,180,181} PEG can be modified by using cell adhesive ligands as well as other bioactive molecules and growth factors to support several cell functions such as cell adhesion, migration and proliferation. This tailortability of PEG has been adapted to direct the angiogenesis and vascularization via patterned angiogenic and/or vasculogenic molecules. Bioactive patterning of PEG was achieved by using multi-step photolithography. In this process a layer of the PEGDA was initially crosslinked to generate a substrate and then polymer solutions containing PEG hydrogel and cell adhesive ligands such as Arg-Gly-Asp-Ser (RGDS), or vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) were poured on the base layer and spatially patterned through standard photolithography or laser scanning lithography techniques.\cite{176,177} The pattern geometries generated using this process consisted of features with variable widths ranging from 10 μm to 200 μm. Preliminary studies demonstrated that HUVECs underwent morphogenesis on intermediate RGDS concentrations (20 μg/cm²) in which the cells assembled on top of each other and formed cord-like structures along the stripes.\cite{177} In addition, the cord formation was enhanced on the stripes with smaller widths compared to the wider ones. As expected, the addition of VEGF to RGDS ligands on the patterned layout further enhanced the lumen formation of the cells.\cite{176} Furthermore, significantly higher expression of angiogenic markers VEGFR1, VEGFR2, EphA 7 and laminin, was detected on narrow stripes (10 μm) compared to the wider stripes. These results demonstrate that the cell patterning on the hydrogel surface promoted tissue organization and vessel formation while modification of the PEG hydrogel with cell adhesive ligands and active molecules promoted the expression of angiogenic markers. GelMA has also been employed for the endothelial cell alignment and organization studies.\cite{5,34} Notably, the HUVECs were able to form lumen-like structures on GelMA with 5%, 10% and 15% gel concentrations.\cite{34} In addition, alignment of HUVEC was significantly increased within patterned microchannels (50 μm width) compared to unpatterned regions confirming the potential of micropatterned GelMA to create 3D vascularized networks.\cite{3}

Patterning proteins on 2D surfaces has also been found to promote alignment and organization of endothelial cells along the patterned regions.\cite{182,183} Typically 2D approaches are not suitable in the context of fabricating functional vasculature for tissue engineering purposes. As an attempt to solve this problem, Gerecht et al. used a 2D approach, but followed by the addition of a hydrogel component to provide a 3D aspect and promote tubulogenesis of endothelial progenitor cells (hEPC) in a 3D microenvironment.\cite{184} To do so, fibronectin was patterned on glass substrates to guide and align hEPC on strips with variable widths ranging from 2.5 μm to 70 μm. Preliminary studies showed optimal cell attachment, alignment and organization on 50 μm width patterns after 5 days of culture. Von Willebrand factor (vWF) expression was significantly increased, confirming the ability of the cells to differentiate toward endothelial lineage and form vasculature. Furthermore, E-selectin and ICAM-1 expression was significantly enhanced in response to tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) showing the angiogenic ability of the cells. Finally, the patterned cells were inverted on cured fibrin gel and within 24 hours, cells were able to form 3D tubular structures. This study demonstrates the importance of a 3D microenvironment for cellular support and vascularization as opposed to micropatterning the cells on 2D surfaces.

Micromolding has also been shown to be an effective method to spatially control the organization of endothelial cells and enhance in vitro tubulogenesis.\cite{185} For example, a PDMS mold consisting of channels with the desired geometries was used to generate microfabricated vascular structure. In this process endothelial cells were encapsulated inside microfabricated collagen gels and induced to form tubules. Tubule formation of endothelial cells, which was triggered by basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) and VEGF, started as early as 24 hours after the cell encapsulation within the channels. Increasing the collagen concentration as well as the channel width resulted in the formation of tubules with larger diameters. The major advantage of this method was the precise control over the tubule geometry such as tubule diameter and branching towards the desired direction and angle. In these approaches, 3D vascularized networks were mainly relied on encapsulation/seedling of endothelial cells within hydrogels and stimulating the process of tubulogenesis by using active molecules such as bFGF and VEGF. The enhancement of the process of tubulogenesis was quantified through tubule length measurement, lumen formation and angiogenic markers expressions.

Another approach uses microfluidic systems to create vascularized network within the tissue constructs. Both hydrogels\cite{184,187} and other biocompatible, biodegradable polymers\cite{188,189} have been used to create microfluidic networks for vascularization purposes. For example calcium alginate hydrogels have been used to provide an appropriate microenvironment for cellular support and for creating an embedded microfluidic network within the cell seeded hydrogel.\cite{187} In another study, microfluidic networks were created in agarose hydrogels and highly porous channels with variable dimensions was fabricated.\cite{186} Cells mostly remained viable near the channels confirming proper diffusion of the nutrients and the waste exchange within the proximity of the channels. Cellular viability can be further improved by using a combination of micromolding technique and sucrose crystal leaching process to generate porous cell-laden agarose hydrogels containing microchannels (Figure 10).\cite{190} In this approach, the mechanical properties and the pore architecture (pore sizes and porosity) of hydrogel were controlled by varying the concentration of sucrose. Furthermore, the viability of human hepatic carcinoma cells encapsulated within the porous hydrogels containing microchannels was 10–20% higher compared to non-porous hydrogels.\cite{190}

In another example of this approach Golden et al. developed microfluidic networks in collagen and fibrin hydrogels.\cite{191} In their approach, geometrical features of the channels were primarily defined through a Pluronic treated PDMS stamp. Then, a sacrificial gelatin layer, was filled inside the channels and induced to gel upon cooling. Subsequently a solution of the precursor hydrogel (collagen I and fibrin) was poured on top of the gelatin layer and polymerized at room temperature. To remove the gelatin layer the entire construct was heated to 37 °C to induce the formation of a liquid gelatin, which was removed by a flushing process to create the microfluidic channels. Through this method, it was possible to produce microfluidic
channels as small as 6 μm in diameter. Further studies demonstrated spreading, proliferation and viability of human microvascular endothelial cells, seeded within the hydrogel, after 5 days of culture. A potential limitation of this technique was the generation of channels that were slightly wider than the original PDMS stamps due to distortion and swelling of the gelatin layer. Figure 11 shows another attempt to create microvasculature network by using bioprinter technology. In this approach 3D biomimetic microvascular networks were embedded within a hydrogel matrix through omnidirectional printing. Tailoring the chemical and rheological properties of the ink controlled the printing process.

In addition to hydrogels, biodegradable polymers such as PLGA and poly(glycerol sebacate) (PGS) have been utilized to create highly branched multi-layer microfluidic networks that resemble in vivo microvasculature. In one approach, a PDMS stamp was used to create the desired architecture out of PLGA through a melt molding process. In addition, it was demonstrated that multiple layers of patterned PLGA could be bonded together through thermal bonding process to form 3D multilayer microfluidic networks. In another study, replica-molding technique was employed to generate a multilayered microfluidic network in PGS. A unique feature of their architecture was the uniform distribution of shear stress throughout the fluidic network, making it a suitable platform for vascularization purposes.

Although significant progress has been made in creating 3D vascularized network through the integration of microtechnology and tissue engineering, there are still numerous challenges in building a fully functional vascularized tissue constructs for clinical applications. Some of these challenges include: a) Understanding the biological processes governing angiogenesis; b) Mimicking the functionality and complexity of the in vivo vascularized network in a scalable fashion; c) Optimal selection of biomaterials to incorporate the bioactive and growth factors to successfully support the cellular function and; d) Implantation and the integration of the vascularized tissue construct inside the patient’s body.

3.3. Directing Stem Cell Fate Using Microengineered Platforms

Towards using stem cells for therapeutic applications, microscale approaches have been increasingly utilized to address various challenges associated with the inability of the current technologies to suitably regulate the stem cell fate decisions. Such platforms are fairly compatible with biological phenomena and have been used to study and/or regulate cellular responses in a tightly controlled microenvironment. For example, the ability to fabricate microscale units with spatially controlled material properties has been used to aid investigation of cellular behaviors through interaction in different microenvironments. These microengineering techniques have advanced the field of stem cell engineering through specific control of stem cell behaviors by mimicking the complex in vivo cellular microenvironments.

There have been many attempts to control the spatial organizations of individual cells or cellular colonies in vitro by using a variety of microscale technologies to study and characterize complex cell-cell interactions. For instance, microarrays have been fabricated from many types of biomaterials for various cell culture applications. In one such microarray platform, the dynamics in the fate decisions of individual stem cell aggregates was investigated in a high throughput manner using microfabricated adhesive stencils to control the sizes of ESC-aggregates that ranged from 100 μm to 500 μm in diameter. In another study, Bawens et al. formed size-controlled EBs using a microcontact printing approach, showing size-dependent differentiation of embryoid bodies (EBs). Moreover, Lee et al. studied the effects of regulating ESC-colony sizes along with the supplementation of growth factors on mesodermal and endodermal lineage developments. In our previous studies, a microwell culture system was developed using PEG as templates for directing the formation of EBs that could offer homogeneity in the size and shape as well as retrievability for further biological analyses. Using this PEG microwell system, it was demonstrated that the different sizes of EBs directed the differentiation of stem cells to endothelial and cardiomyogenic lineages.
the mechanisms responsible for the interactions between stem cells and extracellular environments and directed cell fate decisions in a more predictive manner. For example, a microarray of various natural ECM protein combinations has been developed to study ESC differentiation. These studies allowed small amounts of biosignaling molecules to be effectively used to control and regulate stem cell differentiation in a cost-effective manner. Also, MSCs were induced to differentiate to osteoblasts or adipocytes depending on the sizes of adhesive ECM-islands on which the stem cells were attached.

**Figure 11.** Schematics of omnidirectional printing of 3D microvascular networks within a hydrogel reservoir. A) Deposition of a fugitive ink into a physical gel reservoir allows hierarchical, branching networks to be patterned. B) Voids induced by nozzle translation are filled with liquid that migrates from the fluid capping layer. C) Subsequent photopolymerization of the reservoir yields a chemically cross-linked, hydrogel matrix. D,E) The ink is liquefied and removed under a modest vacuum to expose the microvascular channels. F) Fluorescent image of a 3D microvascular network fabricated via omnidirectional printing of a fugitive ink (dyed red) within a photopolymerized Pluronic F127-diacrylate matrix. (scale bar = 10 mm). Reproduced with permission. Copyright 2011, John Wiley & Sons.
Furthermore, the responses of human ESCs to patterned for studying the interactions between stem cells and differentiation conditions for a certain lineage. A 3D approach human ESC colonies could specify the subsets of appropriate microarray system has also been introduced. For example, differentiation increased inversely with the colony size, whereas greater mesoderm and cardiac induction was observed in the larger the micropatterned human ESC-colonies responded differently to the varying sizes such that endodermal and neuronal expression increased inversely with the colony size, whereas greater mesoderm and cardiac induction was observed in the larger colonies. This suggested that the size-regulated micropatterned human ESC colonies could specify the subsets of appropriate differentiation conditions for a certain lineage. A 3D approach of microarray system has also been introduced. For example, murine ESCs encapsulated in alginate hydrogels were micropatterned for studying the interactions between stem cells and soluble factors in a 3D environment. Furthermore, various methodologies of co-cultures could be achieved by micropatterning technologies in order to control the degree of homotypic and heterotypic cell-cell contacts. For instance, a dynamic co-culture environment was created using microscale stencils made from parylene-C for investigating temporal changes of murine ESCs in co-cultures with other cell types. More complex combinations of co-culture system was generated such that various proteins and cells were micropatterned using reversible sealing microfabricated parylene-C stencils.

In a developing organism, tissue organization is made within a dynamic microenvironment involving coordinated sequences of stem cell renewal, differentiation, and spontaneous assembly into sub-tissues that are regulated by spatial and temporal influences of multiple factors. Most of the biological events in vivo progress through a series of cellular mechanisms activated by growth factors that bind to specific receptors on the cell surfaces. Indeed, a variety of studies about using various growth factors for biochemically mimicking in vivo environments have been conducted for directing the lineage specific differentiation of stem cells. However, this complex interplay of various factors present in the in vivo environments are difficult to recreate in vitro by traditional culture techniques. Furthermore, 3D interactions as they normally exist in vivo between cells and either ECMs or other neighboring cells would also be disrupted by a 2D culture environment. Even though stem cells theoretically can be expanded indefinitely, traditional culture methods still suffer from their labor-intensive and time-consuming nature as well as restricted surface area available for culture that results in a limited amount of therapeutically applicable cells. Therefore, the use of stem cells for therapeutic applications require a novel culture methodology with natural 3D settings that can support the recreation of the appropriate microenvironment in vitro.

Bioreactors are culture devices with the ability to control cell or tissue specific environmental conditions in automatable and reproducible fashion. The development of bioreactors can provide a means to quantitatively study cellular responses to various physical and/or biochemical stimuli. By using microengineering techniques one can facilitate the designs of bioreactor to be modular, miniaturized, and multiparametric while increasing the number of samples that can be simultaneously analyzed. For example, the advent of microfluidic culture platforms showed the feasibility to deliver precise concentrations of various culturing factors such as oxygen, nutrients, and other molecular and physical regulatory factors to the desired locations with minimized batch to batch variability. Furthermore, the media volume required to run the system is much smaller than the traditional culture systems, which in turn reduces the cost associated with expensive soluble factors. Moreover, laminar flow generated in the microfluidic system can offer many advantages in that the iterations can be reduced due to its predictable environment through precise control of media mixing and shear stress over the cells. To date, microfluidic technologies have been used in a number of different applications for studying cellular behaviors, such as cell patterning under microfluidic systems, analysis of cellular behaviors on controlled shear stress, and creation of soluble factor gradients. Microfluidic devices, through precise
rates and concentration gradients that could influence cell morphogenesis and proliferation of ESCs.\textsuperscript{228} By controlling the flow rate and shear stress within the microfluidic system, self-renewal and proliferation of ESCs were regulated, demonstrating that high flow rates resulted in increased proliferation.\textsuperscript{229} In another study, neural stem cell differentiation and proliferation has been regulated by a microfluidic system, such that the effective screening of different growth factor combinations consisting of epidermal growth factor (EGF), fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2) and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) could be performed in the laminar flow range for differentiation towards astrocyte lineage.\textsuperscript{230}

Current designs of bioreactor platforms incorporate the cascades of biological and physical stimuli to exert greater influence over cellular differentiation into tissue constructs with enhanced functionalities.\textsuperscript{231} For example, Park et al. implemented a mechanical stimulation module in the microfluidic system, demonstrating that the osteogenic differentiation of human MSCs was enhanced by compressive cyclic loading in a microfluidic network.\textsuperscript{232} In another study, shear stress gradients were generated in the microfluidic channels in order to study the responses of human ESC-derived endothelial cells to the alterations in shear stress through changing a variety of gene expressions.\textsuperscript{233} However, one potential drawback is that the complex microplumbing system to generate various gradients increases device complexity which in turn leads to low reproducibility and reliability. Therefore, device simplicity and control of critical culture parameters, ensure optimal conditions for studies that aim to recapitulate in vivo environment.\textsuperscript{225,226}

Another application where precise sub-microliter control of culture parameters can be beneficial is in regulating stem cell differentiation using soluble factors.\textsuperscript{221,227} For example, microfluidic devices were shown to produce a logarithmic scale of flow to study the responses of human ESC-derived endothelial cells to the alterations in shear stress through changing a variety of gene expressions.\textsuperscript{233} However, one potential drawback is that the complex microplumbing system to generate various gradients increases device complexity which in turn leads to low reproducibility and reliability. Therefore, device simplicity and

**Figure 13.** Human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) grown on microarrays. (A,B) Four million hESC embryoid body Day 6 cells were grown on the microarray in the presence (B) and absence (A) of retinoic acid for 6 days and then stained for cytokeratin 7 (green) and vimentin (red). C–N) The blue channel can be used to identify the location of polymer spots (B,E,H) or cells lacking other signal by nuclear staining (blue in A,C,D,F,G,I–N). Reproduced with permission.\textsuperscript{215} Copyright 2004, Nature Publishing Group.

**Figure 14.** A) Trapped embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and P19 cells aggregated in each microwell after 1 day. B) The trapped ESCs were cultured to form the embryoid bodies for 3 days. The number of trapped cells increased monotonically with longer cell loading duration time as well as the cell sphere diameter. Reproduced with permission.\textsuperscript{236} Copyright 2011, RSC Publishing.
reliability are considered essential in the integration processes of multiple microfluidic devices. To this end, a simplified bioreactor array has been developed with a capability of different gradient generation and continuous perfusion inside bioreactors for a long-term culture.\[^{234-235}\] In addition, a microfluidic device was further developed for automated EB formation within a microchip containing microwell arrays that are amenable to 3D culture of ESCs, size controlled EB formation, and perfused biochemical treatment for directing EB differentiation (Figure 14).\[^{236}\] Although non-uniform cell loading and cross contamination between channels were still problematic, developed microfluidic devices demonstrated versatile functions including continuous medium perfusion, generation of various conditions within bioreactors, and post-analytical processing. Since each type of tissue constructs requires an individualized culture system design due to their specific functional, physicochemical characteristics in vivo, tissue-specific bioreactors are to be designed on the basis of comprehensive understanding of biological and engineering aspects.\[^{237}\] Moreover, the advances in optical technologies and their combinations with microfluidic platform support the development of a non-invasive monitoring system in real time. The development of such bioreactor systems is believed to accelerate the transfer of laboratory-based engineering approaches with novel biomaterials has the potential to lead to more thorough understanding of cell biology and substantially contribute to the therapeutic potential of engineered tissues. Consolidation of these fields holds great promise for the advancement of regenerative medicine which in long term will aid in realizing the dream of reproducing fully functional tissues.

4. Conclusion

Although engineered tissues are being considered as a potential remedy for organ failure, major challenges such as lack of sufficient vascularization and suitable tissue functionality as well as lack of a suitable cell source remain to be addressed. Given their ability to recreate the cellular microenvironment and generate tissue architecture, the combination of microengineering approaches with novel biomaterials has the potential to lead to more thorough understanding of cell biology and substantially contribute to the therapeutic potential of engineered tissues. Consolodization of these fields holds great promise for the advancement of regenerative medicine which in long term will aid in realizing the dream of reproducing fully functional tissues.
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