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Abstract

Games provide an attractive venue for engaging participants and increasing nutrition-related knowledge and dietary behavior change, but no review has appeared devoted to this literature. A scoping review of nutrition education and dietary behavior change videogames or interactive games was conducted. A systematic search was made of PubMed, Agricola, and Google Scholar. Information was abstracted from 22 publications. To be included, the publication had to include a videogame or interactive experience involving games (a videogame alone, minigames inserted into a larger multimedia experience, or game as part of a human-delivered intervention); game’s design objective was to influence dietary behavior, a psychosocial determinant of a dietary behavior, or nutrition knowledge (hereinafter referred to as diet-related); must have been reported in English and must have appeared in a professional publication, including some report of outcomes or results (thereby passing some peer review). This review was restricted to the diet-related information in the selected games. Diversity in targeted dietary knowledge and intake behaviors, targeted populations/audiences, game mechanics, behavioral theories, research designs, and findings was revealed. The diversity and quality of the research in general was poor, precluding a meta-analysis or systematic review. All but one of the studies reported some positive outcome from playing the game(s). One reported that a web-based education program resulted in more change than the game-based intervention. Studies of games may have been missed; a number of dietary/nutrition games are known for which no evaluation is known; and the data presented on the games and research were limited and inconsistent. Conclusions and Implications: A firmer research base is needed to establish the efficacy and effectiveness of nutrition education and dietary behavior change games.
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Introduction

Dietary intake has been related to heart disease, diabetes, several cancers, stroke, and perhaps adiposity.1 Dietary behavior change programs have been shown to change diet, but the mediating variables are not clear.2,3 Nutrition knowledge change programs (usually called nutrition education) by themselves have been shown to be inadequate to change behavior,4 but some knowledge is a likely precondition of effective behavior change.5 Dietary behavior change programs are needed that appeal to large numbers and diverse types of people who could benefit from the educational/behavior change procedures. Innovative approaches to dietary change are needed to engage participants in enjoyable experiences to reach the largest number of participants.

Electronic media are an increasingly popular channel for health promotion, meeting the needs and interests of modern populations.6–8 At least six types of electronic media for health promotion have been identified: web-based...
corporates aspects of games into the design of media inter-
been recent interest in the use of “gamification,” which in-
effectiveness of the games need to be analyzed. There has 
recognized as an important learning tool, but the role and 
learning and behavior change media since they can be de-
of players in a storyline into which behavior change proce-
new identities; development of social skills 18; modeling of 
experience to facilitate different learning styles 15; safe op-
sequences, and are most appropriately reviewed when con-
game to learn a new principle without real-world conse-
considering those behavior change procedures, 11,12 not when 
reviewing the effects of games. 
No consistent, commonly accepted concept exists for what 
constitutes a game. Games generally have rules that govern 
actions within the game with the possibility of winning and 
importantly, losing based on the rules; feedback on play 
within the game (often points assigned); and a story or nar-
arrative (or an associated back story), among many other 
possible game elements. 13 Games can provide attractive 
learning and behavior change media since they can be de-
gigned to provide fun, enjoyment, or engagement (thereby 
providing intrinsic motivation to play) 14; a multisensory 
experience to facilitate different learning styles 15; safe op-
opportunities for drill and practice with feedback; training for 
higher-order skills, such as critical thinking, problem solv-
ing, team work, strategic thinking, and planning 16; role 
playing for constructivist learning 17; experimentation with 
new identities; development of social skills 18; modeling of 
behaviors 19; training in self-regulation 19; and/or immersion 
of players in a storyline into which behavior change proce-
dures can be built. 20
While several systematic reviews and/or meta-analyses 
have appeared on games for health, 6,21–24 and even in-
cluded a few nutrition education games as a subcomponent, 
no review has appeared devoted to the consideration of 
games for nutrition education or dietary behavior change 
alone. Some reviews have indicated that research on few 
nutrition games have appeared 25; one found only four 
games 26; a review of digital interventions included only one 
nutrition education game, 27 and another included only 
six. 28 This scoping review of the diet-related video or in-
teractive games literature attempts to describe the current 
state of the art in a substantial number of studies involving 
nutrition education games and identify limitations in the 
research, which need to be remedied to advance this im-
portant intervention medium.

Methods
A protocol for this analysis was not preregistered due to 
the long idea-incubation period and a lack of clarity about 
what we might find in our literature search. The structure and 
sequence of this review follows the PRISMA guidelines, as 
possible. 29

Eligibility criteria
To be included in this review, the publication had to in-
clude a videogame or interactive experience involving games 
(i.e., the intervention was a videogame alone, minigames 
were inserted into a larger multimedia experience, or a game 
was part of a human-delivered intervention); the game’s de-
design objective was to influence dietary behavior, a psycho-
social variable believed to be a determinant of a dietary 
behavior, or some aspect of nutrition knowledge (hereinafter 
referred to as diet-related); must have been reported in En-
lish and must have appeared in a professional publication, 
including some report of outcomes or results (thereby pass-
ning some peer review). No additional exclusionary criteria 
were employed. This review was restricted to the diet-related 
information in the selected games.

Information sources
Following the guidelines for a scoping review, 30 this re-
view included two search cycles. Both searches of the lit-

terature were conducted using PubMed, Agricola (the 
agricultural database, since the U.S. Department of Agri-
culture appeared to fund much of the early work on nutrition 
games), and Google Scholar, using the search terms (Nutri-

tion AND Games) and (Diet AND Games).

Search
One author conducted the initial systematic search of the 
databases through December 31, 2016, while the first author 
culled the articles for whether a game was involved in the 
identified articles. A second cycle was performed during 
September 2017, including only the articles produced from 

Study selection
Since the method of game delivery varied by time, there 
was an initial interest in the historical development of games 
for nutrition education. An intensive effort was made to 
identify any article that concerned a game involving nutrition 
or diet. This preliminary, broad, exploratory search revealed 
articles on a substantial variety of games involving nutrition 
education, including card, board, video, and other delivery 
channels. One hundred eighty-five abstracts of articles were 
analyzed; duplicates were removed. Since many of these 
articles were not research-based (i.e., no evaluation of ef-

teffects), the investigators narrowed the focus to include articles meeting the eligibility criteria uncovered through the initial 
ad hoc search. Two coders reviewed the abstracts of these 
articles; duplicate entries were removed; and selected articles 
were retrieved and abstracted. In the search process, a 
number of intervention articles were identified that used one 
or more multimedia formats, but did not include games, 31–39 
and thereby were not included in this review.

Data collection process
To ensure inter-rater reliability and to authenticate data ex-
traction and interpretation quality, two independent reviewers 
systematically analyzed the abstracts. Final selection was based 
on a 92% agreement between reviewers. Data abstraction was 
started after both reviewers received coding training and
performed a coding of 10% of the articles as a pilot for testing reliability. Differences were resolved by multiple, internal, in-depth discussions. Following training, each coder reviewed and extracted data from the 22 articles separately. The first author provided the final determination for all variables.

Data items

Each row in Tables 1–3 concerns a different study. The studies were summarized in regard to first author, year, country, game name, the key goals and hypotheses, whether a story/narrative was included, game elements or mechanics, behavior change procedures and theories employed, setting, target group, method of delivery, research design, sample size, outcome measures (specifically subjectively reported and objective measures), and diet-related outcomes. Story, often considered a key game element, was coded as present when there were characters other than the player, a logically sequenced set of events (storyline or arc), with the story ending and the outcome depending on game play. Game elements or mechanisms were abstracted as possible from the reports provided.

Risk of bias in individual studies

Quality of study or research bias items from the Cochrane Collaboration Risk of Bias Tool (CCRB) and the Effective Public Health Practice Project Quality Assessment Tool (EPHPP) were applied to all studies. Table 3 reports on the risk of bias/quality of research characteristics of each study, including whether the method of randomization was reported; randomization was concealed at baseline; prior power calculations were conducted; assessors were blinded; completeness of follow-up reported; selection bias reported; validity or reliability of the measures reported; possible confounding variables were accounted for in the analyses; and the trial protocol was preregistered.

Synthesis of results

Many of the included studies were pilot, feasibility or proof-of-concept studies with small samples, weak designs, and inconsistent and inadequate assessment, thereby precluding a meta-analysis or systematic review. Thus, the major value of this scoping review is to report the breadth of target groups, objectives and game mechanics, and identify specific research enhancements necessary to document the value of the gaming approach to diet-related change.

Results

Study selection

One hundred eighty-five articles were identified in the preliminary search: 61 from Agricola and 124 from PubMed. An additional 50 articles were identified in the second cycle. Twenty-two articles were identified on nutrition education or dietary change video or interactive games, hereinafter referred to as game.

Study characteristics

The first game article identified was published in 2001, with the number per year steadily increasing to eight in 2015–2017.

Risk of bias within studies

The quality or research bias of individual trials was poor, and is summarized in Table 3. Eleven of the 22 articles (50%) were randomized clinical trials (RCTs), and 3 (14%) were group cluster RCTs. Four (18%) were single-group pre-post designs; two (9%) were not randomized control designs, one was a cross-over trial, and one was an A-B–A-B reversal design. The method of randomization, concealment of randomization at baseline, and trial preregistration was reported by none of these trials (Table 3). Five (23%) reported statistical power calculations before the conduct of the trial. While blinding of participants is not possible in behavior change trials, blinding of assessors is possible and was reported in only one trial.

Completeness of follow-up (withdrawals, dropouts) was reported in 12 trials (55%). Selection bias (sample representativeness and participation rates) were reported by five trials (23%). Validity and/or reliability of the measures was reported by 14 trials (64%). Accounting for possible confounders of outcomes was reported by nine trials (41%). Whether the investigators reported analyses of all the prespecified outcomes could not be assessed since none of the research protocols were preregistered.

Results of studies

Only one of the games reported no changes from playing the game on behavior or its determinants, but only 27.6% of the students in that study played the game. All the other studies reported some positive outcomes from game play, but few studies identified a primary outcome, and none identified what percentages of all possible or expected outcomes the games impacted. Another study revealed that a web-based education program was more effective than the game-based education.

Most changes were relatively small, but few effect sizes were reported.

Synthesis of results

Thirteen of these games (59%) targeted children at school, three (14%) targeted children at home, three (14%) in a laboratory, one any place, and only two (5%) targeted adults. The sample sizes varied from 1876 to 20. There were 14 (64%) individual or group randomized clinical trials. The games were developed and tested largely in the United States (n = 13; 59%), Europe (n = 8; 36%), or Asia (n = 1).

A story (or narrative) was specifically included in 15 games (68%). The other game elements or game mechanics varied enormously. A number of well-known popular existing game formats/mechanics should have been well known to the target audience (thereby minimizing the need to learn new game rules), for example, the concentration memory game, and adapted these game elements to achieve education purposes or influence behavior.

Five of these games (23%) could be categorized more as primarily targeting some aspect of nutrition knowledge, while nine other games (41%) could be categorized more as targeting dietary behavior change, and eight (36%) as primarily targeting some combination of dietary psychosocial variables and behavior. A diverse variety of educational and/or behavioral change theories with attendant diversity in the corresponding knowledge or behavior change procedures were identified as providing the conceptual foundation and
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Reference; year, country, name of game</th>
<th>Key goals and hypotheses</th>
<th>Story/narrative/game mechanics</th>
<th>Behavior change procedures</th>
<th>Theories named</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1  | Turnin<sup>86</sup> 2001, France, *Alimentary My Dear Joe (4): Store, Guess Who, Granny Smith, The Restaurant* | Evaluate primary school children’s acquisition of nutritional knowledge and improvement of eating habits from playing four serious games H1: Children who play the four games would increase their nutritional knowledge and eat healthier | • Story: Yes  
• *Store*: Classify foods into categories  
• *Guess Who*: Children guess name of food after it being described  
• *Granny Smith*: Children select breakfast and snack food items by correcting Granny’s errors  
• *The Restaurant*: Catch foods jumping out of fridge and place them to “balance” a meal | Nutrition knowledge change | None mentioned |
| 2  | Baranowski<sup>67</sup> 2003, USA, *Squire’s Quest!* | Test the impact of a nutrition education multimedia program with games on servings of fruit, 100% juice, and vegetables among fourth-grade students H1: Children who play *Squire’s Quest!* will increase their intake of fruit, 100% juice, and vegetables | • Story: Player enters training to be Squire and help King fight off Slimes and Mogs  
• Not specified | FV knowledge change  
• Establish meal FV schemas  
• Progressively more challenging goal setting | Social cognitive theory |
| 3  | Serrano<sup>87</sup> 2004, USA, *Super Sorter More or Less* | Test a multimedia package including two games on fourth/fifth-grade students’ diet-related knowledge, skills, self-efficacy, attitude and intention H1: Children who play the games as a part of the Food Pyramid Games package will increase their nutrition related knowledge and psychosocial variables | • Story: Yes  
• *Super Sorter*: Categorize foods that appear into food pyramid categories; progressively faster with each round  
• *More or Less*: Evaluate meals and menus to include foods from all five groups | Food Good Pyramid, knowledge change | Theory of intrinsically motivating instruction |

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Reference; year, country, name of game</th>
<th>Key goals and hypotheses</th>
<th>Story/narrative/game mechanics</th>
<th>Behavior change procedures</th>
<th>Theories named</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Silk⁴² 2008, USA, The Fantastic Food Challenge (FFC)</td>
<td>Examine the effectiveness of three modalities for delivery of nutrition education: game, web, or pamphlet, on nutrition knowledge and likeability H1: Participants will report greater liking of the interactive game; H2: Participants will have higher nutrition literacy scores with information media (pamphlet, website) than from game; H3: Participants receiving information media (pamphlet, website) will retain more nutrition knowledge than from videogame</td>
<td>• Story: No • Fantastic Food Challenge (4 games): (1) Categorize falling foods (2) Estimate “cost effectiveness” based on size, numbers of servings, and cost</td>
<td>Nutrition knowledge change</td>
<td>Media uses and gratification and flow theories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Peng⁸⁸ 2009, USA, RightWay Café</td>
<td>Teach about nutrition and weight management knowledge and increase people’s self-efficacy and perceived benefits of healthy eating, as well as their intention to be on a healthy diet H1: Participants who played RightWay Café would increase their healthy nutrition, knowledge, self-efficacy, perceived benefits, and intentions</td>
<td>• Story: Yes • Role playing similar to The Sims • Game player is star in a TV show “Star of Healthy Lifestyle” • Player who manages daily diet to reach optimal weight wins • Create personal avatar • Weekly simulated weight change in avatar based on food selections</td>
<td>• Tailored healthy eating information (kcal, wt, personal food pyramid) • Positive feedback and rewarding points for choosing healthy food • Dialogues set descriptive norms • Information on immediate benefits from a healthy diet • Game presented change goals</td>
<td>Health belief model, theory of reasoned action, and social cognitive theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Reference; year, country, name of game</td>
<td>Key goals and hypotheses</td>
<td>Story/narrative/game mechanics</td>
<td>Behavior change procedures</td>
<td>Theories named</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 6  | Dias43  
2011, Portugal, Healthy Advergame Less Healthy Advergame | Test impact of healthy and nonhealthy advergames on children’s immediate food choices, liking, and nutritional knowledge  
H1: Children’s spontaneous snack selection will reflect the food content of the advergame they play;  
H2: Children’s food liking will reflect the food content of the advergame they play: (a) The group who played the healthy version will like healthy food more than the other group; (b) the group who played the nonhealthy version will like nonhealthy food more than the other group;  
H3: Children who played the healthy version will exhibit greater nutritional knowledge, compared with children who played a less healthy version of the same game | ● Story: Yes  
● Child character grabs a snack—if healthy, gains 5 points  
● Bomb and bullets need to evade  
● 2 levels: character can fly | Nutrition knowledge change | Food exposure theory (Birch) |
| 7  | Baranowski66  
2011, USA, Escape from Diab, and Nanoswarm: Invasion from Inner Space | Evaluate the effect of playing two serious videogames on children’s diet, physical activity, screen media use, and adiposity  
H1: Children who play the two serious games will increase their fruit and vegetable and water intake, and physical activity, and decrease their screen time and BMI | ● Story: Yes  
● Action-adventure story/narrative  
● Games not specified | ● Mastery learning of energy balance for knowledge change  
● Modeling of behaviors and coping action and coping implementation intentions  
● Behavioral inoculation  
● Tailored value-based messaging | Social cognitive and self-determination theories and elaboration likelihood model |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Reference; year, country, name of game</th>
<th>Key goals and hypotheses</th>
<th>Story/narrative/game mechanics</th>
<th>Behavior change procedures</th>
<th>Theories named</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 8  | Baños\(^{89}\) 2013, Spain, ETIOBE Mates | Evaluate the efficacy and acceptability of ETIOBE Mates on children’s nutritional knowledge compared to a traditional paper–pencil mode of information delivery H1: Children playing ETIOBE Mates will improve their nutritional knowledge; and ETIOBE Mates will be acceptable and playable (medium to high scores) | ● Story: Yes  
● The Healthy Plate: Categorize foods in food pyramid categories  
● The Memory Game: Concentration type game to find pair-wise matches of foods and then answer questions about food—easy, medium, and difficult levels  
● Super ETIOBE: Player helps detective solve cases of children having imbalanced diet and PA | Energy balance knowledge enhancement | None specified |
| 9  | Schneider\(^{90}\) 2012, USA, Fitter Critters | Test the acceptability of an online videogame for improving healthy diet and activity in elementary school students H1: Children who play the game will find it highly acceptable and increase their nutrition and activity knowledge, attitudes, and self-efficacy | ● Story: No  
● Players responsible for the health of a Critter  
● 17 quests  
● Meters for Critter’s health and diet  
● Food to feed Critter: Should not exceed fat, sugar, calories allotments  
● Cooking: To feed Critter  
● Work: Critter can earn money at work; healthier Critter earns more money  
● Sick days: When Critter is sick, cannot earn money  
● Decorations: Critter’s earnings used to decorate house | None stated | None stated |

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Reference; year, country, name of game</th>
<th>Key goals and hypotheses</th>
<th>Story/narrative/game mechanics</th>
<th>Behavior change procedures</th>
<th>Theories named</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Folkvord (^6) 2013, Netherlands, Advergames</td>
<td>Examine the effect of advergames that promote energy-dense snacks or fruit on children’s ad libitum snack and fruit consumption and examine whether this consumption differed according to brand and product type (energy-dense snacks and fruit) H1: Playing advergames that promote food increases general caloric intake; H2: Playing an advergame that promotes food increases product type–related food intake; H3: Increased food intake after playing an advergame is not specific to a certain brand but will also enhance the intake of a brand promoting products in the same product category</td>
<td>• Story: No  • Concentration type memory game attempting to match pair-wise images of foods  • The healthy or unhealthy brands appeared on the backsides of the cards to be turned over  • Digital timer and time bar to supply urgency  • Pleasant sound when child made a matched pair; unpleasant sound for nonmatching pairs</td>
<td>Exposure to brand names</td>
<td>Cue reactivity theory (concentration type memory game)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Majumdar (^9) 2013, USA, Creature 101</td>
<td>Evaluate the efficacy of playing Creature-101 at promoting energy balance-related behaviors (EBRBs) H1: Adolescents who played Creature-101 would significantly increase their FV and water intake and PA; and decrease intake of processed snacks (e.g., chips, candy), SB, and recreational screen time</td>
<td>• Story: Yes  • Players created own avatars and worlds  • Between-player communication of goals and accomplishments  • Story/narrative: Murphy in Tween, characters in Tween became addicted to foods and activities brought from Earth  • Player learned healthfulness of behaviors when helping creatures change</td>
<td>Autonomy supportive environment  • Learned competence  • Diet-related knowledge change  • Goal setting for personal behavior change</td>
<td>Social cognitive and self-determination theories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Reference; year, country, name of game</td>
<td>Key goals and hypotheses</td>
<td>Story/narrative/game mechanics</td>
<td>Behavior change procedures</td>
<td>Theories named</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 12 | Folkvord\textsuperscript{92} 2014, Netherlands, various advergames | Examine the moderating role of impulsivity on the effect of advergames that promote energy-dense snacks on children's snack intake  
H1: Playing advergames promoting energy-dense snacks increases caloric intake;  
H2a: Stimulating response inhibition by rewarding refraining from eating decreases caloric intake;  
H2b: Children rewarded for refraining from eating have a lower caloric intake when they play a nonfood advergame than when they play a food advergame;  
H3: Impulsive children eat more;  
H4: Rewarding refraining will have less influence on high impulsive children, especially when playing a food advergame | ● Story: No  
● Concentration type memory game attempting to match pair-wise images of foods  
● The healthy or unhealthy brands appeared on the backsides of the cards to be turned over  
● Digital timer and time bar to supply urgency  
● Pleasant sound when child made a matched pair; unpleasant sound for nonmatching pairs | Exposure to brand names | Cue reactivity theory |
| 13 | Johnson-Glenberg\textsuperscript{93} 2014, USA, Alien Health | Assess the feasibility and efficacy of game on nutrition knowledge among an older group of children  
H1: Playing Alien Health will be feasible and result in increased nutrition knowledge | ● Story: Yes  
● Story: Find alien under your bed  
● Level 1: Student pairs need to discuss and choose between healthy and unhealthy foods within 10 seconds  
● Kinect sensed child selection and changed to next choice  
● Children engaged in PA between choices  
● Level 2: Focused attention on nutrient informational bars to make food selection  
● Level 3: Drag foods onto MyPlate icon to make a balanced lunch for the Alien | Healthy diet knowledge change | Embodiment theory |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Reference: year, country, name of game</th>
<th>Key goals and hypotheses</th>
<th>Story/narrative/game mechanics</th>
<th>Behavior change procedures</th>
<th>Theories named</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 14 | Jones<sup>94</sup> 2014, USA, *The FIT Game* | Develop an intervention designed to increase FV consumption while minimizing material and labor costs to the school. H1: Children who played the game would increase their consumption or targeted FV. | - Story: Yes  
- Story: Help FITs capture the villainous VATs  
- New script each day read by teacher  
- Daily goal to eat 60% or higher of yesterday’s school fruit or vegetable intake  
- Competition for best school in 3 elimination rounds  
- Won game currency for everyday school exceeded goal  
- Students selected school equipment to purchase with game currency | Reward of goal attainment (set by teachers) | Social and operant learning theories |
| 15 | Rosi<sup>95</sup> 2015, Italy, *Menu Composer*, and *Jump Mania* | Evaluate an IT-based intervention on children’s fruit and vegetable intake. H1: Children who played these games as part of a larger educational program would eat more fruit and vegetables. | - Story: No  
- *Menu Composer*: Choose fruit and vegetables based on correspondence to 5 rules of a healthy diet and drag to a dish with colored spaces  
- Children with higher *Menu* scores received more time on *Jump Mania* game for which children were expected to match sequence patterns with feet | Healthy diet knowledge change  
- Reward with PA | None specified |
| 16 | Sharma<sup>96</sup> 2015, USA, *Quest to Lava Mountain* | Evaluate the feasibility, acceptability, and effects of the *Quest to Lava Mountain* computer game on dietary behaviors, physical activity behaviors, and psychosocial factors among ethnically diverse children. H1: Children who play *Quest to Lava Mountain* will experience better dietary and physical activity behaviors. | - Story: Yes  
- Action adventure story/narrative: Not  
- Make healthy food choices to progress through game and win  
- Mazes, interactive activities, simulations of nutrition concepts  
- Increasing challenges across levels of game | Healthy diet knowledge enhancement  
- Subjective norms | Social cognitive theory and theory of reasoned action |

*Table 1. (Continued)*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Reference; year, country, name of game</th>
<th>Key goals and hypotheses</th>
<th>Story/narrative/game mechanics</th>
<th>Behavior change procedures</th>
<th>Theories named</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Thompson45 2015, USA, Squire’s Quest! II</td>
<td>Test the efficacy of active versus coping implementation intentions on the dietary intake of healthy children H1: One of the forms (unspecified) of implementation intentions will result in greater change in intake of fruit and vegetables at immediate post and 3-month post-assessments</td>
<td>• Story: Yes  • Action adventure story/narrative: King Brocwell and Queen Nutrition are defending the Kingdom of Fivealot from the Mog  • Player wants to become knight to help the King and Queen; has to become a Squire  • Squire has to face challenges (eat more FV) to build strength  • FV knowledge games: Diverse game mechanics (10)</td>
<td>• FV knowledge change  • Action and/or coping implementation intentions  • Badges awarded for goal attainment  • Create personal schema for FV at meals  • Behavioral inoculation  • FV recipe preparation  • Parent engagement through newsletter and website</td>
<td>Social cognitive, self-determination, behavioral inoculation, and maintenance theories and the elaboration likelihood model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Spook41 2016, Netherlands, Balance It</td>
<td>Evaluate the effects of Balance It on students’ dietary intake, PA, and the psychosocial determinants H1: Students who played Balance It would have healthier dietary intake and physical activity</td>
<td>• Story: No  • Obtain cards by changing diet  • Use cards to build a tower  • Want tallest tower, but need to “balance it”  • Competition with other players</td>
<td>• Goal setting/action and passive implementation intentions  • Barrier identification  • Feedback on goal attainment  • Peer support from player forum</td>
<td>Intervention mapping, goal setting, self-monitoring, feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Shiyko97 2016, USA, SpaPlay</td>
<td>Evaluate game play patterns of SpaPlay and its impact on BMI and nutritional knowledge among women H1: Progress in the SpaPlay game will lead to increased nutrition knowledge and decreased BMI</td>
<td>• Story: No  • Need to develop a spa resort  • Healthy eating earns points to build spa  • Self-created avatar  • Minigames  • Quests: Setting and attaining a health behavior goal to attain in coming week  • Sparks: Single-time health behavior  • Collaborative/cooperative tasks  • Chef game: Create healthy dishes</td>
<td>• Goal setting  • Self monitoring  • Social interaction/support</td>
<td>Self-determination and stages of change theories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Reference; year, country, name of game</td>
<td>Key goals and hypotheses</td>
<td>Story/narrative/game mechanics</td>
<td>Behavior change procedures</td>
<td>Theories named</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 20 | Fraticelli98 2016, Italy, *Gustavo in Gnam’s Planet* | Test the improvement of knowledge about healthy nutrition and enjoyment in adolescents  
H1: Playing the web game *Gustavo in Gnam’s Planet* in comparison with a leisure web game will improve knowledge about healthy nutrition and enjoyment in adolescents | • Story: Yes  
• Story: Gustavo and his cat escape to unknown planet, cannot return unless live healthy, player needs to help  
• 3 levels | • Foal feedback  
• Reward  
• Punishment  
• Shaping  
• Instructions | Transtheoretical model of change, social cognitive theory, self-determination theory, and elaboration likelihood model |
| 21 | Joyner99 2017, USA, *FIT Game 3* | Test the efficacy of the *FIT Game* to increase vegetable intake  
H1: Presenting the *FIT Game* materials in comic book format on the school cafeteria wall would significantly increase vegetable consumption | • Story: Yes  
• Story/narrative: Help FITs capture the villainous VATs  
• New script each day read by teacher  
• Daily goal to eat 60% or higher of yesterday’s school fruit or vegetable intake  
• Competition for best school in 3 elimination rounds  
• Won game currency for every day school exceeded goal  
• Students selected school equipment to purchase with game currency | Reward of goal attainment (set by teachers) | Not clearly specified |
| 22 | Wang100 2017, Hong Kong, *Escape from Diab* | Evaluate the effect of playing a health videogame designed for Western children on diet and PA-related psychosocial variables and behaviors among Chinese children  
H1: Playing *Escape from Diab* will enhance diet and PA psychosocial variables and increased story immersion will result in larger effects | • Story: Yes  
• Action-adventure story/narrative  
• Games not specified | • Mastery learning of energy balance for knowledge change  
• Modeling of behaviors and coping action and coping implementation intentions  
• Behavioral inoculation  
• Tailored value-based messaging | Social cognitive, self-determination, and elaboration likelihood theories |

BMI, body mass index; FV, fruit and vegetables; PA, physical activity.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Reference; year, country, name of game</th>
<th>Setting/target group; design/sample size</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Results and conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Turnin&lt;sup&gt;86&lt;/sup&gt; 2001, France, <em>Alimentary My Dear Joe</em> (4): Store, Guess Who, <em>Granny Smith, The Restaurant</em></td>
<td>School/healthy 7–12-year-old children Part of teacher presented intervention GRT, 2 groups, post only/n = 1876</td>
<td>Diet record at home, with help from the parents, covering 3 consecutive days including one weekend (variables: kcal, macronutrients, saccharose, calcium, fiber); nutrition knowledge questionnaire (variables: global nutrition knowledge and 8 knowledge subscales), eating habits questionnaire (variables: whether meals and snacks were consumed) None</td>
<td>Children in the games group had significantly higher knowledge and better balanced diet; more carbohydrate, less fat, less protein, less saccharose, more calcium, and more fiber. The games group had a better snack at 10 a.m., a less copious lunch and less nibbling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Baranowski&lt;sup&gt;67&lt;/sup&gt; 2003, USA, <em>Squire’s Quest!</em></td>
<td>School/4th grade, 8–12 years old Games inserted in multimedia story with behavior change procedures GRT, 2 groups, pre, post/n = 1578</td>
<td>Measured fruit and veggie intake using Food Intake Recording Software System (FIRSt) None</td>
<td>Children participating in <em>Squire’s Quest!</em> increased their FJV consumption by 1.0 serving per day more than the children not receiving the program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Serrano&lt;sup&gt;87&lt;/sup&gt; 2004, USA, <em>Super Sorter More or Less</em></td>
<td>School/Latino 4th–5th graders, 10–13 years old Games part of larger multimedia program RCT, 2 groups, E: pre, post, C: post only/n = 115</td>
<td>Measured nutrition knowledge, skills, self-efficacy, attitude, intention None</td>
<td>Children playing these games significantly increased nutrition knowledge and skills, but not self-efficacy, attitude or intention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Silk&lt;sup&gt;42&lt;/sup&gt; 2008, USA, <em>The Fantastic Food Challenge (FFC)</em></td>
<td>State extension office, other agencies, home/low-income mothers Games alone RCT, 3 groups, post1, post2/n = 155</td>
<td>Measured nutrition literacy, liking of, attention to the game, understanding the message, and intention to use the game in the future None</td>
<td>Website participants had consistently highest knowledge/literacy, attention, ease of understanding, and intention to use in the future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Peng&lt;sup&gt;88&lt;/sup&gt; 2009, USA, <em>RightWay Café</em></td>
<td>Research lab/young adults Game alone RCT, 2 groups, pre, post1, post2/n = 40</td>
<td>Measured in regard to eating a healthy diet: self-efficacy, perceived benefits and barriers, intention; EFNEP knowledge questionnaire and game likeability None</td>
<td>Short-term effects of <em>RightWay Café</em> included increased nutrition knowledge, self-efficacy and perceived benefits of healthy eating; 1-month follow-up showed maintenance of effect only for self-efficacy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Reference; year, country, name of game</th>
<th>Setting/target group; design/sample size</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Results and conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Dias43, 2011, Portugal, Healthy Advergame, Less Healthy Advergame</td>
<td>School/Piaget’s concrete operational stage, 7–8 years old Game alone RCT, 2 groups, post only/ ( n = 231 )</td>
<td>Measured: healthy versus not food selection task, liking of each food presented, knowledge of healthy foods</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Baranowski66, 2011, USA, Escape from Diab, and Nanoswarm: Invasion from Inner Space</td>
<td>Home/10–12 years old Games within a story-driven multimedia production RCT, 2 groups, pre, mid, post1, post2/ ( n = 153 )</td>
<td>Measured three 24-hour dietary recalls at each assessment point for fruit, vegetables, water, and total energy; Social Desirability of Response scale</td>
<td>BMI, waist circumference, triceps skinfold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Banos59, 2012, Spain, ETIOBE Mates</td>
<td>Home/3rd–6th grades, 7–11 years old Game as part of a larger clinical intervention RCT, 2 groups, pre, post/ ( n = 228 )</td>
<td>Nutritional Knowledge Questionnaire, Game Acceptability–Playability Questionnaire, Game Playing Habits Questionnaire</td>
<td>Calibrated electronic stadiometer (height and weight for BMI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Schneider90, 2012, USA, Fitter Critters</td>
<td>School/5th grade, 8–12 years old Game alone 1 group, pre, post/ ( n = 97 )</td>
<td>Nutrition and physical activity knowledge, healthy eating attitude, diet self-efficacy, and videogame acceptability</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Folkvord44, 2013, Netherlands, Advergames</td>
<td>Lab/3rd–4th grades, ( \bar{x} = 8.9 ) years Game alone RCT, 4 groups, post only/ ( n = 270 )</td>
<td>Hunger before and at end; recognition of brand and product in game</td>
<td>Weighed food intake at snack over 5 minutes, BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Majumdar91, 2013, USA, Creature 101</td>
<td>School/6th–7th grades, 11–13 years old Game alone GRT, 2 groups, pre, post/ ( n = 341 )</td>
<td>Measure of frequency and amount of beverage and snack items</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Reference; year, country, name of game</th>
<th>Setting/target group; design/sample size</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Results and conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Folkvord(^9)(^2) 2014, Netherlands, various advergames</td>
<td>Lab/3rd–4th grades Game alone RCT, 4 groups, post only/(n=261)</td>
<td>Pre-experimental hunger and fullness; attitude toward brands and foods shown in game; and impulsivity: door opening task</td>
<td>Weighed snack calorie intake, BMI</td>
<td>Playing an advergame with food cues increased caloric intake; rewarding children to refrain from eating calories worked; rewarding children to refrain from eating worked more among low impulsive children, and those who played the nonfood cue game</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Johnson-Glenberg(^9)(^3) 2014, USA, Alien Health</td>
<td>Research lab/6th–7th grades Game alone, but played in pairs in front of classroom 2 groups, pre, post1, post2/(n=20)</td>
<td>Nutrition knowledge and food choice test</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Knowledge increased more in the control group at immediate post, but reversed at post 2 to favor the experimental group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Jones(^9)(^4) 2014, USA, The FIT Game</td>
<td>School/1st–5th grades Game in context of school lunchroom and competition with another school 1 group, targeted versus nontargeted FV/(n=180)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Measure food weights consumed at school using weight scales</td>
<td>Playing The FIT Game significantly increased fruit and vegetable consumption following baseline; with no significant increase in nontargeted fruit or vegetable consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Rosi(^9)(^5) 2015, Italy, Menu Composer, and Jump Mania</td>
<td>School/3rd–5th grades, 8–11 years old Game as part of curriculum delivered by teachers 1 group, pre/(n=76)</td>
<td>5-a-day food diary to measure fruit and vegetable intake</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Playing these games increased vegetable intake by 27.8%, but not fruit or juices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Sharma(^9)(^6) 2015, USA, Quest to Lava Mountain</td>
<td>School/4th–5th grades Game alone RCT, 2 groups, pre, post/(n=94)</td>
<td>Two random 24-hour dietary recalls for kcal, macronutrients, fruit, vegetables, fiber, sugars, total fat and calcium; and number of days breakfast</td>
<td>Height and weight for BMI</td>
<td>Playing Quest to Lava Mountain significantly decreased sugar intake pre- to post-intervention, and significantly improved Nutrition Attitude Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Thompson(^4)(^5) 2015, USA, Squire’s Quest! II</td>
<td>Home/4th–5th grades, 9–11 years old Games integrated into story-driven multimedia experience RCT, 4 groups, pre, post1, post2/(n=400)</td>
<td>Three unannounced 24-hour dietary recalls at each data collection period using the Nutrient Data System for Research (NDSR-2009) conducted directly with the child; two weekday and one weekend day recalls; fruit and vegetable preference questionnaire</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Playing Squire’s Quest! II significantly increased fruit and vegetable intake only in the Action Implementation Intentions group at both post 1 and post 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
Table 2. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Reference; year, country, name of game</th>
<th>Setting/target group; design/sample size</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Results and conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Spook [41] 2016, Netherlands, <em>Balance It</em></td>
<td>Any place/secondary, vocational students, 16–21 years old Game alone played individually or competitively RCT, 2 groups, pre, post1, post2/n = 231</td>
<td>Food frequency questionnaire for fruit, vegetable, snack, and soft drink intakes; and attitude, self-efficacy and intention to eat healthy foods</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Only 27.6% of students used <em>Balance It</em>; no significant between-group differences were detected for behavior or determinants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Shiyko [97] 2016, USA, <em>SpaPlay</em></td>
<td>Home/women dietary change contemplators Game alone 1 group, pre, post1, post2, post3/n = 47</td>
<td>Self-reported height and weight for BMI; General Nutrition Knowledge questionnaire for adults; Readiness to Change Questionnaire for nutrition and sweetened beverages</td>
<td>Telemetry time-stamped game play actions</td>
<td>Participation varied substantially; self-reported BMI was lower and nutrition knowledge was higher among those who spent more time playing <em>SpaPlay</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Fraticelli [98] 2016, Italy, <em>Gustavo in Gnam’s Planet</em></td>
<td>School/17–21 years old Game alone Cross-over, pre, post1, post2/n = 65</td>
<td>Healthy food knowledge questionnaire and game level of fun</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Mean diet knowledge increased significantly after playing <em>Gustavo in Gnam’s Planet</em>, with no difference in the “fun” of playing <em>Gustavo</em> versus a commercial game</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Joyner [99] 2017, USA, <em>FIT Game 3</em></td>
<td>School/K–5th grades Game in context of a school classroom and competing with another school A-B–A-B reversal/n = 572</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Plate waste method using weight scales measured: vegetables served, waste, and unserved, leaving intake</td>
<td>During phase B1, vegetable consumption increased by an average of 15.1 g per child per day (69%); during phase B2, vegetable intake increased by 37 g per child per day (18%); fruit consumption, not targeted for improvement, did not significantly increase; maintenance of effect beyond the game is problematic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Wang [100] 2017, Hong Kong, <em>Escape from Diab</em></td>
<td>School/8–12 years old Game alone 2 groups, pre, post1, post2/n = 179</td>
<td>Game immersion; in regard to fruit, vegetable and water intake; intrinsic motivation, self-efficacy, and preferences; and social desirability of response</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>At post1, playing <em>Escape from Diab</em> significantly increased intrinsic motivation for fruit and for water; change in immersion was not significantly related to changes of other diet measures; a game designed for children in western audiences can be used with English-speaking students</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRT, general randomized trial; RCT, randomized clinical trial.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Reference; year, country, name of game</th>
<th>Method of randomizing reported (Y/N)</th>
<th>Randomization at baseline (Y/N)</th>
<th>Prior power calculations (Y/N)</th>
<th>Blinding of assessors (Y/N)</th>
<th>Completeness of follow-up (Y/N)</th>
<th>Selection bias reported (Y/N)</th>
<th>Validity/reliability of measure reported (Y/N)</th>
<th>Accounting for possible confounders reported (Y/N)</th>
<th>Preregistered trial (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Turnin 2001, France, <em>Alimentary My Dear Joe (4): Store, Guess Who, Granny Smith, The Restaurant</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Baranowski 2003, USA, <em>Squire's Quest!</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Serrano 2004, USA, <em>Super Sorter More or Less</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Silk 2008, USA, <em>The Fantastic Food Challenge (FFC)</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Peng 2009, USA, <em>RightWay Café</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Dias 2011, Portugal, <em>Healthy Advergame, Less Healthy Advergame</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Baranowski 2011, USA, <em>Escape from Diab, and Nanoswarm: Invasion from Inner Space</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Baños 2013, Spain, <em>ETIOBE Mates</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Schneider 2012, USA, <em>Fitter Critters</em></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Folkvord 2013, Netherlands, <em>Advergames</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Reference; year, country, name of game</th>
<th>Method of randomizing reported (Y/N)</th>
<th>Randomization at baseline (Y/N)</th>
<th>Prior power calculations (Y/N)</th>
<th>Blinding of assessors (Y/N)</th>
<th>Completeness of follow-up (Y/N)</th>
<th>Selection bias reported (Y/N)</th>
<th>Validity/reliability of measure reported (Y/N)</th>
<th>Accounting for possible confounders reported (Y/N)</th>
<th>Preregistered trial (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Majumdar91</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Folkvord92</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Johnson-Glenberg93</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Jones94</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Rosi95</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Sharma96</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Thompson95</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Spook941</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Shlyko97</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Fraticelli98</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Joyner99</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Wang9100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N, no, did not meet that indicator; NA, indicator not applicable; Y, yes, met that indicator.
operationalization of the theory constructs for the game. Social cognitive theory appears to have been the most commonly stated theory, and nutrition knowledge change was the most commonly mentioned behavior change procedure.

The measures used to assess outcomes were perhaps even more diverse wherein different measures were often employed to assess the same knowledge or behavior construct across studies. Five studies (23%) reported more objective methods of dietary intake assessment (e.g., weighed plate waste): three of these as part of laboratory-based tests of the effects of diet-related advergames, and two as part of a test of game play in a school lunchroom/cafe.

Three articles studied the effects of food advergames and showed that exposure to only 5 minutes of game play influenced the child’s dietary intake immediately following the game. This suggests the possible strong (at least short-term) behavioral impacts of dietary game play.

One game systematically varied two forms of a behavior change procedure, action and coping implementation intentions, and tested impact on fruit and vegetable intake within a four-group RCT. Since the programming keeps all other aspects of game play comparable, this is an ideal method for conducting tests of behavior change procedures for use in videogames, and perhaps outside of games.

Discussion

Summary of the evidence

While all but one of the articles reported some positive outcomes from playing the game(s), the quality of much (but not all) of the research reported left much to be desired, making it difficult to determine the extent to which games had the intended, and reported, effects. The research on diet-related change games diverged in regard to target populations, dietary behavior targets (e.g., fruit, vegetables, general nutrition information, Mediterranean diet), theories (social-cognitive, persuasion, health belief model, attachment, socioconstructivist, or even none), game elements/mechanics, behavior change procedures, research designs, and measures. As a result, a meaningful meta-analysis or systematic review was not possible across all these studies at this time.

Comparisons to other reviews

A recent review of advergames alone indicated a small effect increasing unhealthy food intake. While that was not the primary focus of the current review, it does indicate that games can influence dietary intake to some extent under some circumstances. The review, including six games for health, noted the generally positive effects on nutrition knowledge, but the diversity in delivery, poor measurement of process variables and engagement, and thereby the inability to draw conclusions about effects. We noted similar patterns, but in a larger number of nutrition education game studies, and provided a more detailed assessment of the limitations in the research methods.

Future directions/needed research

Conceptual frameworks exist to guide the development of sophisticated G4H (Games for Health). Research from three large behavior change trials (not using games) used mediating variable analyses to validate a multi-theoretical model to specify the conceptual framework for the design of health behavior change interventions that are likely to be effective. Several conceptual models have been advanced to account for how games may influence diet-related outcomes. Some of these models interrelate game elements and behavior change principles, while others emphasize the relevance of food cues and individual predisposing factors (e.g., impulsivity). Little research, however, has tested aspects of these models with games. Much of the effort in diet-related games has been to design, develop, and test a game or interactive experience including game(s) to influence outcomes, rather than test principles of game mechanics or behavior-mediating variables within games. Research is urgently needed that systematically varies aspects of game mechanics or behavior change procedures within games to optimize their effects on game engagement, maintenance of interest, psychosocial mediators of change, and behavior change (shorter or longer terms). Thompson et al. systematically varied four types of goal setting/implementation intentions within Squire’s Quest! and demonstrated that action implementation intention was the only procedure to maintain changes 3 months after the end of the game. This research provides an important model for making future contributions to an understanding of how game mechanics can influence change in behavior.

No commonly accepted compendium or taxonomy of elements in games exists. The “game lenses” identified by Schell, the “playful experience cards” of Bjork and Holopainen, and/or Lucero et al.’s playful experiences list preliminarily enumerate items for consideration. Without a commonly accepted compendium, consistencies in game mechanics across games may have been missed since different words may have been used to specify an essentially common underlying mechanic or set of mechanics. Developing and obtaining consensus for such a taxonomic compendium should be a high priority to permit the identification and comparison of game elements in future systematic reviews and meta-analyses and also to identify mechanics that are most engaging. Such taxonomic compendia would also facilitate the conduct of process evaluations, which relate participation in game elements to outcomes.

A compendium of behavior change procedures has been proposed, although challenges have been reported in classifying procedures into the proposed categories. Such a common taxonomy should also be used in games research to clearly specify what procedures were employed. Of course the same procedure (e.g., goal setting) could be implemented in a variety of ways in the context of a game, with each variant possibly affecting outcome in a different way, indicating specific details of the intervention design need to be presented in the reported outcome or process evaluations.

Fifteen games (68%) incorporated a story or narrative in one form or another. Given the potential for story immersion to influence attention to change procedures (especially behavior change messaging), developing and incorporating stories into nutrition education games may be a way to enhance effectiveness. Alternatively, the incorporation of a story into a game may distract the player from active game play, thereby reducing player game enjoyment. Several stories have been tested and demonstrated to change behavior without a game. A study that designs and compares separate story and game elements with their integration...
would be an important contribution to our knowledge of nutrition education games (and games in general).

There seems to be an implicit assumption that simply providing a diet-related change program in a game format will automatically make the activity fun, or engaging, and thereby motivate the player to substantially increase the knowledge or change the behavior at substantial levels. Alternatively, one of the studies revealed that a web-based education program was more effective that a game-based program. Unfortunately, the relative quality of these two programs cannot be meaningfully assessed from the published information, and thus it is not clear if primarily the medium (web-based or game-based) or qualities of the programs influenced this outcome. The modest changes in knowledge and behavior that have been reported in these studies suggest the assumption of game dominance is not well supported. The role of “fun” in games and game play has not been well specified; the definition and measurement of fun or enjoyment has not been clearly delineated; story or narrative may contribute to the fun of games, but this has not been clearly assessed. If fun in games was better understood, games might be designed to enhance the fun aspects to, in turn, enhance the dietary change.

Many of these games targeted nutrition knowledge, often as the primary or only target. Each set of authors appears to have created their own measure of nutrition knowledge with unknown psychometric properties. While knowledge for academic purposes (e.g., types of dietary fats; how sodium, potassium, and magnesium interact to influence blood pressure) can be valuable, all these games were targeted in one way or another to positively impact health, often through dietary behavior change. The field would benefit from a library of nutrition knowledge items that tapped information that were preconditions for behavior change (e.g., how to make a salad) and could be incorporated into computer adaptive testing to provide brief measures of outcomes comparable across studies.

Developing a diet-related intervention that can be expected to impact body mass index (perhaps the most prevalent nutrition-related health problem) for obesity prevention requires that the game impact the necessary relevant knowledge and psychosocial variable(s) (e.g., knowledge, self-efficacy, intrinsic motivation) to change the targeted behavior(s) (e.g., diet, physical activity, sedentary behavior, and/or sleep) for a long enough time to change body composition. There are a few examples of such large games. The time to develop and evaluate them can take years, and the cost for their development can be staggering (millions of dollars). It seems unlikely that this level of funding will become generally available for game development anytime soon. Rather than creating a single integrated multimedia experience that incorporates games to address dietary change, it may be appropriate to develop a comprehensive intervention structure (including multimedia and face-to-face components) into which a series of games could be developed and inserted to achieve those outcomes for which games are most effective, for example, knowledge enhancement, simulation of interpersonal interactions, etc. Alternatively, dietary behavior change requires learning some new skills that could be learned through games, for example, food preparation, menu planning, effective food parenting practices, and even taste testing. Games attempting to increase these skills or behaviors could be developed, tested, and incorporated into larger behavior change programs.

There has been substantial concern about the quality of research, also called risk of bias, in general and how to incorporate issues of quality into systematic reviews. The general quality of the evidence in support of games on nutrition knowledge or behavior change outcomes was low and needs further enhancement. This has been pointed out elsewhere. Only five of these nutrition education game studies used objective measures of dietary intake to assess dietary change outcomes. Subjective measures tend to be subject to bias (i.e., low validity or accuracy) and unreliability (or imprecision). Both active and passive methods of more objective dietary intake assessment are being developed and refined for use in uncontrolled community settings and should be considered for future use as measures of outcome of games for nutrition education. G4H researchers need to expend substantially more effort to enhance the quality of this research if for no other reason than self-interest, that is, to increase the likelihood of obtaining funding for future research.

Many of the studies identified a substantial number of behavioral, psychosocial, and/or anthropometric or physiological outcomes, without specifying a primary outcome. This approach to evaluation runs a high risk of type I statistical error (i.e., obtaining a statistically significant effect purely by chance). Diet-related game research should specify a primary outcome upon which power calculations are conducted, with prespecified hypotheses or models of the way in which game play is expected to influence the outcome. In this way, tests can be made of whether the game influenced the targeted variable(s) as designed. The stronger our knowledge base about how games result in change, the more effective ensuing games can be if designed based on what has been learned.

**Limitations**

One or more game studies may have been missed. A number of diet-related games have been available for purchase, but about which nothing has been published. (At least one game developer has been overheard saying “I have all the evaluation I want or need. My games sell.”) A recent systematic review of G4H identified a total of 1743 games produced between 1983 and 2016, of which 99 (6.4%) were about nutrition education and eating disorders. The number of academic publications that evaluated the efficacies of those games is far less than the games produced. This review was based on reports of games in publications that tended to provide minimal details, which could have resulted in mischaracterizing the games or studies. On one hand, we may miss existing games that were effective but never evaluated. On the other hand, actual play of the games might have provided different characterizations and insights, but many of the games no longer function on modern technology.

**Conclusion**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first English review devoted to diet-related video or interactive games. Although a variety of diet-related games have been reported, the research base is inadequate to ascertain whether putting nutrition education or diet-related behavior change into a...
game format consistently results in the desired outcomes, or what aspects of game elements or behavior change procedures appear to be most effective. Future diet-related game research should use randomized controlled designs; common validated outcome measures (preferably more objective measures); behavior change procedures as specified in recent taxonomic inventories; and be guided by conceptual models that reflect the complexities of game design, user experience, and their interactivity. A taxonomic inventory of game elements needs to be developed to clearly specify game designs, and facilitate game reporting and comparisons of outcomes. Research is needed that systematically varies game elements between different versions of the same game to test what game elements produce the most change. Different concepts of fun in game play need to be comparatively tested. Perhaps we need a leaderboard of game researchers and their numbers of publications weighted by the quality of their contributions to make a game of it.
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